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Eutrombicula tinami (Oudemans, 1910) (Trombidiformes: Trombiculidae) in Brazil: a neglected ectoparasite of several animals including humans
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Original research

ABSTRACT

The genus Eutrombicula comprises ca. 80 species worldwide, some of which have been reported as causative agents of severe irritation to the host’s skin, known as trombiculiasis. Six species of Eutrombicula have been recorded from Brazil until present, with two reports of humans as hosts. In this study, Eutrombicula tinami (Oudemans) is redescribed and new records for the states of Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Santa Catarina and São Paulo as well as new parasite-host associations with Columbiformes, Cuculiformes, Passeriformes, Tinamiformes, Carnivora, Didelphimorphia and Lagomorpha are listed. Besides that, a two detailed report cases of trombiculiasis is provided.
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Introduction

Some non-host specific chigger genera (Trombidiiformes: Trombiculidae, Leeuwenhoekiidae and Walchiidae) parasitize several vertebrates groups, including humans (Shatrov 2000). Infestation by these mites can causes severe itching known as trombiculiasis, and there is also a possibility disease transmission (Mullen and O’Connor 2002). One genus is Eutrombicula Ewing, 1938 with more than 80 species, six of which are recorded in Brazil: Eutrombicula alfreddugesi (Oudemans, 1910), Eutrombicula batatas (Linnaeus, 1758), Eutrombicula brayanti (Oudemans, 1910), Eutrombicula daemoni (Bassini-Silva and Jacinavicius, 2018), Eutrombicula goeldii (Oudemans, 1910) and Eutrombicula tinami (Oudemans, 1910) (Stekolnikov and González-Acuña 2010; Bassini-Silva et al. 2018; Jacinavicius et al. 2018a).
The Neotropical species *E. tinami*, was described from Brazil by Oudemans (1910) and later recorded from Panama (Brennan and Lukoschus 1971), Surinam (Brennan and Lukoschus 1971) and Venezuela (Brennan and Reed 1974), parasitizing birds, rodents and marsupials (Oudemans 1910; Brennan and Lukoschus 1971; Brennan and Reed 1974; 1975; Jacinavicius et al. 2018a).

In the northern region of Brazil, locals use the term ‘micuim’ for chiggers, but in the southern region, there is no common name, suggesting most Brazilians including the medical community are unaware of chigger and their medical importance.

Here we redescribe *E. tinami*, with new locality and host records as well as new human trombiculiasis records.

**Material and methods**

The Instituto Butantan houses the Acari Collection (IBSP) that receives material from various parts of the country. Specimens deposited in this collection are regularly prepared for identification. Of these, two hundred chiggers belonging to the genus *Eutrombicula*, previously stored in Ethanol (100%) were slide-mounting in Hoyer’s medium and some were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), both preparations according to Walter and Krantz (2009). Drawings were made with a Leica DFC 500 microscope. Extended focal range images were composed with Leica Application Suite version 2.5.0. The scanning electron microscope photographs were obtained using a Digital FEI-Quanta 250 at the Laboratório de Biologia Celular, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo. The distribution map was prepared using DIVAGIS. All the images were prepared with Adobe Photoshop v. 13.0 and Inkscape V.2. Species identifications involved using the key by Brennan and Reed (1974), and original species descriptions. Additional sources included redescriptions of *Eutrombicula* by Oudemans (1912), Jenkins (1949) as well as illustrations by Brennan and Reed (1974). The terminology follows Bassini-Silva et al. (2018) and Jacinavicius et al. (2018b).

In the present study we also report the parasitism by *E. tinami* on two humans, one male 39 y/o and other female 31 y/o, who were walking in the Atlantic Rain Forest (45°19’28” W, 23°33’42” S) between 9 am and 12 pm on an unspecified day November 2014.

**Results**

**Systematics**

The chigger specimens were identified as *E. tinami* (Oudemans, 1910). Additional specimens from Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Santa Catarina and São Paulo states were studied and used to improve the redescripition of *E. tinami* presented below.

*Eutrombicula tinami* (Oudemans, 1910)

*Otonyssus tinami*: Buitendijk 1945: 338.
*Eutrombicula (Eutrombicula) tinami*: Vercammen-Grandjean 1965: 32.

**Larva**

(Figures 1–5, Tables 1 and 2)

**Diagnosis** — Palptibia with nude dorsal and lateral setae, and a branched ventral seta; adoral setae nude; odontus bifurcate with the inner prong smaller than the outer prong; the first
Morphological details of *Eutrombicula tinami* (Oudemans, 1910): A – ventral view of palptarsus; B – dorsal view of gnathosoma; symbols: ω = solenidion of palptarsus; ζ = subterminal eupathid of palptarsus; Od = odontus. Scales: A and B 50 μm.

row (C) of dorsal opisthosoma setae with 8 setae; the second row (D) with 6 setae; tarsus of leg I with a ε distal to ω, tibia of the leg III with one mastiseta.

**Redescription based on examined material** (Figures 1-5)

**Gnathosoma** — palp setal formula B/B/NNB/7Bζω; odontus (= palpal claw) bifurcate; cheliceral blade with tricuspid cap; gnathobase punctate; subcapitular setae branched, elcp not observed (Figs. 1A-B and 5A), adoral (= galeal) setae nude (Fig. 1B).

**Idiosoma** — eyes 2/2 set in an ocular plate, anterior eye larger; prodorsal sclerite (= scutum) with 1 pair of flagelliform trichobothridial (= sensilla) setae (si) with widely distributed long and slender setules, and 5 normal setae (a pair of ve (= AL), a pair of se (= PL) and a single vi

---

Figure 1  Morphological details of *Eutrombicula tinami* (Oudemans, 1910): A – prodorsal sclerite; symbols: ve = external vertical setae; se = external scapular setae; vi = internal vertical setae; si = internal scapular trichobothridial setae. Scales: 50 μm.
Morphological details of *Eutrombicula tinami* (Oudemans, 1910); A – dorsal view of idiosoma; B – ventral view of idiosoma. Solid circles = ventral setae; open circles = dorsal setae. Symbols: $c_1$-$c_4$ = C row setae; $d_1$-$d_3$ = D row setae; $e_1$ = E row setae; $f_1$ = F row; $1a$ = anterior sternal setae; $3a$ = posterior sternal setae; $1b$ = coxal field I seta; $2b$ = coxal field II seta; $3b$ = coxal field III seta. Scales: A and B 100 μm.

($=$ AM) seta), $se > ve > si > vi$; the anterior margin of the prodorsal sclerite slightly undulating, and the lateral margins slightly concave posteriorly, posterior margin convex (Figs. 2A and 5C). Thirty four opisthosomal setae, dorsal opisthosoma with 4 pairs of setae in the C row, with the $c_4$ pair in an anterior position ($=$ humeral setae), D row with 3 pairs of setae, E row with 1 pair of setae, F row with 1 pair of setae, totaling 18 dorsal opisthosomal setae, and 16 ventral opisthosomal setae (10 setae anterior to the anus and 6 setae posterior) (Figs 3A-B, 5B). Two pairs of sternal setae (1a, 3a) between coxal fields.

**Legs** — femur legs I-III each divided into a basifemur and telofemur, each leg terminated with a pair of claws and a claw-like empodium, without onychotriches, coxal fields striate. Leg I - coxal field with 1 branched seta $1b$ (1B), $elc_1$ not observed; trochanter 1B; basifemur 1B; telofemur 5B; genu 4B, $3 \sigma$ with $\kappa$; tibia 8B, $2 \varphi$ with $\kappa$; tarsus 21B, with $\omega$, $\epsilon$, dorsal eupathidium ($\zeta'$) with a companion seta $z$ and terminating with a subterminal eupathidium ($\zeta''$), base of famulus ($\epsilon$) distal to $\omega$ (Figs. 4A). Leg II - coxal field seta $2b$ (1B); trochanter 1B; basifemur 2B; telofemur 4B; genu 3B, $\sigma$; tibia 6B, $2 \varphi$; tarsus 15B, with $\omega$, $\epsilon$, and subterminal eupathidium ($\zeta$), base of $\epsilon$ proximal to $\omega$ (Fig. 4B). Leg III - coxal field seta $3b$ (1B) on anterior margin, trochanter 1B; basifemur 2B; telofemur 3B; genu 3B, $\sigma$, tibia 6B, $\varphi$; tarsus 14B and one mastiseta (Figs. 4C and 5E).

**Table 1** Standard measurements of *Eutrombicula tinami* (n=30).

|       | AW  | PW  | SB  | ASB | PSB | SD  | D-PL | AP  | vi  | ve  | se  | si  | 1a  | 3a  | DMIN | DMAX | VMIN | VMAX |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Fuller (1952) | 76-77 | 92  | 29  | 35  | 56-59 | -   | 38   | 56-59 | 48-53 | 62-63 | 53-59 | -   | -   | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Minimum | 78  | 93  | 21  | 44  | 30  | 74  | 23   | 33   | 43   | 46   | 58   | 60  | 57  | 42   | 54   | 32   | 39   |
| Maximum | 88  | 116 | 29  | 49  | 36  | 85  | 26   | 36   | 46   | 55   | 60   | 64  | 59  | 44   | 50   | 60   | 33   | 45   |
| Mean   | 83  | 104 | 25  | 46  | 33  | 79  | 24   | 35   | 44   | 50   | 59   | 62  | 58  | 43   | 48   | 57   | 32   | 42   |

Legend: AW = distance between the bases of the $vi$ setae; PW = distance between the bases of the $se$ setae; SB = distance between the $si$ bases; ASB = distance from the $si$ bases to extreme anterior margin of the prodorsal sclerite; PSB = distance from the $si$ bases to the extreme posterior margin of the prodorsal sclerite; SD = ASB + PSB; D-PL = distance from posterolateral to extreme posterior margin; AP = distance between the bases of $vi$ and $ve$; $vi =$ anteromedial seta; $ve =$ anterolateral seta; $se =$ posterolateral seta; $si =$ flagelliform trichobothridial seta; $1a =$ anterior sternal setae; $3a =$ posterior sternal setae; DMIN = minimum length of dorsal opisthosomal setae; DMAX = maximum length of dorsal opisthosomal setae; VMIN = minimum length of ventral opisthosomal setae and VMAX = maximum length of ventral opisthosomal setae.
Figure 4 Morphological details of *Eutrombicula tinami* (Oudemans, 1910); A – Leg I; B – Leg II; C – Leg III. Solid circles = ventral leg setae; open circles = dorsal leg setae; symbols: \( \zeta' \) = dorsal eupathid tarsus leg I; \( \zeta, \zeta'' \) = subterminal eupathid tarsus legs I and II, respectively; \( \omega \) = solenidion tarsus legs I and II; \( \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \) and \( \sigma''' \) = solenidia on the genu of legs I, II and III; \( \kappa \) = microsetae on genu and tibia of leg I; \( \varphi, \varphi', \varphi'' \) = solenidia on tibiae legs I, II and III; \( \epsilon \) = famulus on tarsi of legs I and II; \( z \) = companion seta on tarsi of leg I; \( 1b \) = coxal field I seta; \( 2b \) = coxal field II seta; \( 3b \) = coxal field III seta; \( MTa \) = mastisetae on tarsus leg III. Scales: A-C 50 μm.

**Distribution** (Figure 6) — Minas Gerais (MG) state - Abaeté (45°26’44” W, 19°09’36” S); Fazenda Continente, Coronel Pacheco (43°15’56” W, 21°35’17” S); Rio de Janeiro state - Angra dos Reis (44°19’04” W, 23°00’24” S); Paraty (44°42’46” W, 23°13’04” S); Santa Catarina state - Estação Ecológica de Carijós, Florianópolis (48°30’37” W, 27°27’54” S); Reserva Biológica Marinha do Arvoredo, Florianópolis (48°21’23” W, 27°17’57” S); São Paulo state - Caraguatatuba (45°19’28”W, 23°33’42”S); Reserva Florestal do Morro Grande, Cotia (46°57’48” W, 23°43’48” S); Vila Verde condominium, Itapevi (46°57’41” W, 23°34’47” S); Sabadúa district, Mogi das Cruzes (46°05’16” W, 23°29’02” S); Barra do Una, Peruibe (47°04’59” W, 24°27’01” S); Água doce, Piedade (47°25’40” W, 23°42’43” S); Santos (46°20’00” W, 23°57’39” S); Instituto Butantan, São Paulo (46°37’24” W, 23°38’04” S); Serra da Cantareira, São Paulo (46°31’38” W, 23°22’44” S); Vila Albertina neighborhood, São Paulo (46°41’30” W, 23°30’04” S).

**Table 2** Standard measurements of *Eutrombicula tinami* (n=30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>Ip</th>
<th>TII</th>
<th>TaW</th>
<th>σ I</th>
<th>κ I</th>
<th>ϕ I</th>
<th>κ I</th>
<th>ω I</th>
<th>ε I</th>
<th>ζ’ I</th>
<th>ζ’’ I</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>σ II</th>
<th>ϕ II</th>
<th>ω II</th>
<th>ε II</th>
<th>ζ II</th>
<th>σ III</th>
<th>ϕ III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: I = length of leg I; II = length of leg II; III = length of leg III; Ip = sum of leg lengths (trochanter to base of pretarsus); TII = length of tarsus leg III; TaW = width of tarsus leg III; σ I = average length of the three solenidia on genu of leg I; κ I = length of microseta on genu leg I; ϕ I = average length of both solenidia on tibia of leg I; ε I = length of microseta on tibia leg I; ω I = length of solenidion on tarsus leg I; ζ’ I = length of dorsal eupathidium on tarsus leg I; ζ’’ I = length of subterminal eupathidium on tarsus leg I; z = length of companion seta on tarsi of leg I; σ II = length of solenidion on genu leg II; ϕ II = length of an average of both solenidia on tibia leg II; ω II = length of solenidion on tarsus leg II; ε II = length of famulus on tarsus leg II; ζ’ II = length of subterminal eupathidium on tarsus leg II; σ III = length of solenidion on genu leg III and ϕ III = length of solenidion on tarsus leg II.
Figure 5 Scanning electron micrographs of *Eutrombicula tinami* (Oudemans, 1910); A – ventral view of palptarsus; B – dorsal view of idiosoma; C – prodorsal sclerite; D – genu of leg I; E – genu, tibia and tarsus of the leg III. Symbols: $\omega$ = palptarsal solenidion; $\zeta$ = palptarsal subterminal eupathid; $c_1-c_4$ = C row setae; $d_1-d_3$ = D row setae; $e_1$ = E row setae; $f_1$ = F row setae; $ve$ = external vertical setae; $se$ = external scapular setae; $vi$ = internal vertical setae; $si$ = internal scapular trichobothrial setae; $\sigma$ = solenidia on genu of legs I and III; $x$ = microsetae on genu of leg I; $\varphi$ = solenidia on tibiae legs III; $MTa$ = mastisetae on the tarsi legs III. Scales: A and D 20 μm; B 100 μm; C and E 50 μm.
Type depository — According to the original description and Jacinavicius et al. (2018a), the types for this species are deposited at the Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, Netherlands [formerly Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie], but Fuller (1952) reported the types were deposited in the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France, however they not available for this study.

Material examined from Brazil

Mammals

Five larvae (IBSP 1648), Santos, São Paulo (SP), 26-II-1939, Homo sapiens L. (Primates: Hominidae), Dr. Tuffy and A. T. Leão coll.; 3 larvae (IBSP 12522), Caraguatatuba, SP, XI-2014, same host, G. F. S. R. Fournier and A. Pinter coll.; 1 larva (IBSP 4673), Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, SP, 1940, same host, A. T. Leão coll.; 1 larva (IBSP 1975), Serra da Cantareira, SP, 12-VIII-1940, Nasua nasua L. (Carnivora: Procyonidae), N. Bolognani coll.; 1 larva (IBSP 1680), Vila Albertina neighborhood, São Paulo, SP, 25-II-1938, Didelphis aurita Wied-Neuwied (Didelphimorphia: Didelphidae), L. F. Martins coll.; 4 larvae (IBSP 10541), Barra do Una, Peruíbe, SP, 05-XI-2010, same host, F. Nieri-Bastos coll.; 2 larvae (IBSP 352), Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, SP, 24-V-1933, same host; 1 larva (IBSP 11255), Vila Verde condominium, Itapevi, SP, 13-XII-2012, same host, F. C. Jacinavicius coll.; 6 larvae (IBSP 10551), same locality and host, 29-IV-2011, A. Marcili coll.; 4 larvae (IBSP 340), Instituto Butantan, São Paulo, SP, 22-X-1933, Sylvilagus brasiliensis (L.) (Lagomorpha: Leporidae); 3 larvae (IBSP 353), same locality and host, 21-V-1932; 1 larva (IBSP 581), same locality and host, VII-1931; 4 larvae (IBSP 1654), Água Funda neighborhood, São Paulo, SP, 07-III-1939, Hydrochoerus hydrochaeris L. (Rodentia: Caviidae), Dr. Vallejo coll.; 1 larva (IBSP 10535C), Serra da Cantareira, São Paulo, SP, 12-IV-2011, Euryoryzomys russatus (Wagner) (Rodentia: Cricetidae), F. Nieri-Bastos coll.; 1 larva (IBSP 358), Angra dos Reis, Rio de Janeiro (RJ), VI-1931, Dasyprocta leporina (L.) (Rodentia: Dasyproctidae), L. Travassos coll.; 1 larva (IBSP 1681), Abaeté, Minas Gerais (MG), 05-VII-1937, Trinomys sp. (Rodentia: Echimyidae), E. Chagas coll.; 2 larvae (IBSP 1684), same locality and host, 01-VI-1937, E. Chagas coll.

Figure 6 Geographical distribution of Eutrombicula tinami (Oudemans, 1910) in Brazil. The yellow dot represents a literature record, and red dots are new records.
Figure 7 Lower leg of female bitten by *Eutrombicula tinani* (Oudemans, 1910). The arrows show the areas of papular dermatitis.

**Birds**

Notes about the trombiculiasis

The subjects came in contact with larval *E. tinami* in the forest, which were noticed several hours later, around 6:00pm, mainly because of the onset of intense pruritus; at this moment one of the subjects (Author Fournier, G.F.S.R.) had specimens collected by application of adhesive tape, few larvae were collected from the tape at the stereomicroscope and further identified (IBSP 12522). The clinical signs consisted of areas of papular dermatitis located on the feet, legs, knees, hands, fingers, arms, face and scalp. The lesions were circular, focal and multifocal, with papular inflammatory reaction with a center papule and a hyperemic centrifuge halo of sizes ranging from 1 to 3 cm in diameter, probably caused by exuberant cellular inflammatory reaction with manifests severe pruritus and lasts for over 72 hours as described in other *Eutrombicula* species. No infection symptoms, such as fever, were present. The pruritus ceased after about five days without any medication. Neither subject consulted a physician or used medicine to kill the parasites or control inflammation. Both subjects removed the parasites with a hard bath sponge during a shower about 10 hours after exposure, but it was not evaluated whether this action was efficient or not. Both subjects experienced the same symptoms and skin manifestations. Figure 7 shows the inflammatory papules on the female subject 24 hours after exposure.
Discussion

Host records suggest some *Eutrombicula* species are not host specific. This applies to *E. tinami*, which has been reported from multiple species of birds, rodents and marsupials (Oudemans 1910; Brennan and Lukoschus 1971; Brennan and Reed 1974, 1975; Jacinavicius et al. 2018a).

Prior to this study *E. tinami* had been reported from only five hosts, *Dielphis marsupialis* from Surinam (Brennan and Lukoschus 1971), *Proechimys semispinosus* from Panama (Brennan and Lukoschus 1971), *Marmosa robinsoni* from Venezuela (Brennan and Reed 1974), *Didelphis aurita* from Brazil (Jacinavicius et al. 2018a) and the original host, *Crypturus noctivagus*, also from Brazil (Oudemans 1910). In this study, we recorded 207 *E. tinami* from 70 individual hosts representing 17 mammals and 53 birds sampled in Brazil. Mammals, including humans accounted for only 20% of the *E. tinami* recovered from hosts. All were new mammalian host records for this species, except for the host species *D. aurita*. Birds are important hosts for Brazilian *E. tinami* accounting for 80% of all the specimens recovered with 20 new host records in 15 families. The bird genus *Turdus* spp. appears to be a common host for *E. tinami* accounting for about half of all birds with *E. tinami* and nearly 60% of all specimens recovered.

This species was also found biting humans and joins *E. alfreddugesi* and *E. batatas*, in causing human trombiculiasis in Brazil. *Eutrombicula tinami* was described for Brazil without specific locality information (Oudemans 1910). The only other published Brazilian record for this species was from a marsupial collected in the São Paulo, SP (Jacinavicius et al. 2018a). Here, we provide new records from the states of Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, Santa Catarina and São Paulo. This species had previously published records of this species from Panama (Brennan and Lukoschus 1971), Surinam (Brennan and Lukoschus 1971) and Venezuela (Brennan and Reed 1974) indicating perhaps its distribution includes the neighboring countries.

Although *E. tinami* has been reported from several countries, the two limited redescriptions (Oudemans 1912; Fuller 1952), more than 50 years ago, did not provide enough morphological detail to identify this species. In this study, we provide new figures, SEM images and additional metric data to help identify this species.

The human bite symptoms reported here are similar to those reported by Chaccour (2005) and Faccini et al. (2017). The under reporting of trombiculiasis in Brazil is probably due to the difficulty of finding chiggers on a human host or identifying them when they are found. Fonseca (1932) suggested chiggers may vector pathogens in Brazil. Surveys of Brazilian and South America chiggers their hosts and host symptoms are needed to help understand the potential health risks chiggers may present to humans and domestic animals.
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