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Two new species of feather mites (Acarina: Psoroptidia) from the Oriental Magpie-Robin, *Copsychus saularis* (Passeriformes: Muscicapidae)
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**ABSTRACT**

Two new feather mite species from the bird host *Copsychus saularis* (Linnaeus) collected in Indonesia (Kalimantan) are described: *Dolichodectes latilobus* n. sp. (Proctophyllophoridae, Pterodectinae), and *Trouessartia saularis* n. sp. (Trouessartiidae). The new species, *Dolichodectes latilobus*, has the following distinctive characters: in males, the opisthosomal lobes are widened in the posterior half; the opisthoventral shields are fused, forming a single shield that covers ventrally the posterior quarter of the body; legs I have longitudinal crest-like processes; and setae *ra* on these legs are spiculiform. In females of *D. latilobus*, the posterior margin of the hysterontal shield has a deep median invagination, and epimerites II extend to the level of the anterior margin of epigynum. The males of *T. saularis* have the adanal apodemes with two narrow lateral membranes, and the females have the terminal cleft width smaller than the opisthosomal lobe width, and the collar of the spermatheca cover 1/2 from the length of secondary spermataduct.
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**Introduction**

With over 100 known species, Indonesian archipelago is ranked 11th in the world with respect to recorded feather mite biodiversity (Doña *et al.* 2016). Studies on feather mites in this area began over 100 years ago, with the first papers realized by early acarologists on the feather mite fauna associated with parrots (Psittaciformes) (Trouessart 1884, 1885; Favette and Trouessart 1904). The fauna of parrots in this country, particularly varied and spectacular, with endemic or rare species, has continued to fascinate acarologists over time; so other feather mites species from these hosts have been described or reinvestigated in a series of papers published in the past forty years (Atyeo and Pérez 1982; Atyeo and Gaud 1991; Gaud and Atyeo, 1996; Mironov and Perez 2003; Mironov and Dabert 2010; Mironov et al. 2003, 2014). A comprehensive paper, dedicated to feather mites that live on birds from the family Megapodidae (Galliformes), includes 34 species of feather mites from Indonesia (Atyeo 1992). Descriptions of feather mite species from other hosts orders in Indonesia are scattered in various taxonomic papers (Bonnet 1924; Atyeo and Braasch 1966; Santana 1976; Peterson and Atyeo 1977; Pérez and Atyeo 1981; Gaud *et al.* 1985; Gaud and Atyeo 1986, 1987; Atyeo and Gaud 1992; Dabert and Ehnersberger 1996; Mironov *et al.* 2002, Dabert *et al.* 2002; Mironov 2006; Dabert and Labrzycza 2009). Atyeo (in McClure and Ratanaaworabhan 1973) reported 11 species of feather mites from this country, 9 of which are presumably new species. There are 1,748 species of birds in Indonesia (Clements *et al.* 2016), and 609 in Kalimantan (Indonesian Borneo) (Lepage 2017). Each is a potential host for several feather mite species, so it is evident that the
investigation of this group in this country is far from being exhaustive. The genus *Copsychus* (Passeriformes: Muscicapidae) comprises 11 species of magpie-robin and shamas, distributed in Madagascar, South Asia, Indonesia and the Philippines (Clements *et al.* 2016). The Oriental Magpie Robin, *Copsychus saularis* (Linnaeus) is a small sized species distributed in the tropical area of southern Asia, being native to Bangladesh, Bhutan, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, China, India, Indonesia, Laos People’s Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Vietnam, and was introduced to Taiwan (BirdLife International 2017). It is an anthropophilic bird, common in forests, parks, gardens and feeds on insects and other small invertebrates on the ground (MacKinnon and Phillipps, 1993).

The goal of the present paper is to describe two new feather mite species belonging to genera *Trouessartia* and *Dolichodectes*, collected from the Oriental Magpie-Robin, *Copsychus saularis*.

**Materials and methods**

Mites were collected by the authors from museum specimens of birds preserved in ethanol, from the Ornithological Collection of “Grigore Antipa” National Museum of Natural History (Bucharest, Romania). The ethanol in which the birds were conserved was filtered through filter paper, and mite specimens were collected manually with a needle, and placed in tubes with 96% ethanol. Then mite specimens were cleared in 90 % lactic acid for 24 hours, and mounted on microscope slides in Hoyer’s medium. Drawings were made using an Olympus CX21 microscope, equipped with a camera lucida drawing device. The taxonomy of birds follows Clements *et al.* (2016). The body setation of mites follows that of Griffiths *et al.* (1990) with the modifications of Norton (1998) concerning coxal setae, while the leg setation follows Gaud and Atyeo (1996). In the descriptions, we used a set of standard diagnostic characters used for species of the family Trouessartiidae (Mironov and González-Acuña 2013; Hernandez 2014; Hernandez and Valim 2015) and *Dolichodectes* (Hernandez and Valim 2006, Mironov *et al.* 2010, 2012), respectively.

Measurements are given for the holotype (male) and followed by ranges for paratypes. All measurements are in micrometers (μm). The mite specimens studied herein are deposited in the Acari Collection of “Grigore Antipa” National Museum of Natural History, Bucharest, Romania (MGAB) and in the Acari Collection of the Department of Zoology of the Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rio Claro, São Paulo, Brazil (DZUnesp-RC).

**Taxonomy**

**Family Proctophyllodidae Trouessart et Mégnin, 1884**

**Subfamily Pterodectinae Park et Atyeo, 1971**

**Genus Dolichodectes Park et Atyeo, 1971**

The feather mite genus *Dolichodectes* Park and Atyeo, 1971 currently includes nine species; one of them is widespread throughout the Old World, one is described from Europe, one is from Asia, and six other species are recorded from Africa (Trouessart 1885; Gaud and Mouchet 1957; Mironov and Fain 2003; Mironov *et al.* 2010, 2012, 2015). Atyeo (in: McClure and Ratanaworabhan 1973) reported 16 undetermined and presumably new species of this genus from Asia. To date, only one species of the genus *Dolichodectes* has been described from birds of the genus *Copsychus: Dolichodectes fuscilobus* Mironov, Literák, Hung and Čapek, 2012 from the White-rumped Shama, *Copsychus malabaricus* (Scopoli) (Passeriformes: Muscicapidae) in Vietnam (Mironov *et al.* 2012). Of the nine species of the genus, three species were identified from birds of the family Muscicapidae, two species from birds of the family Acrocephalidae, and one from birds of the families Platysteiridae, Turdidae, Stenostiridae and Passeridae. *Dolichodectes latilobus* is the fourth species described from birds of the family Muscicapidae.
**Dolichodectes latilobus** Constantinescu n. sp. (Figs. 1 – 4)

Zoobank: CF94906A-A01F-4B71-AE9E-6AA3599C88A7

Type material — Holotype male and 16 paratypes (5 males and 11 females), from *Copsychus saularis* (Linnaeus) (Passeriformes: Muscicapidae), Indonesia, Kalimantan Island, Lhok Tuan, east of the Kutai National Park, 18 May 1991, bird inventory number 15719–47, no other data.

Type deposition — Holotype male (ANA 787), 4 male (ANA 788 – 791) and 9 female (ANA 792 – 800) paratypes in MGAB collection, 1 male and 2 female paratypes in DZUnesp-RC collection.

Etymology — The specific epithet refers to the widened posterior ends of the opisthosomal lobes in males (from Latin latus = wide, broad).

Description — MALE (holotype, range for 4 paratypes) — Length of idiosoma 436 (456 – 472), width 124 (112 – 120), length of hysterosoma 340 (328 – 342). Prodorsal shield: anterior margin with triangular rostral process, lateral margins without incisions around scapular setae, posterior margin concave, antero-lateral extensions widely connected to bases of epimerites Ia, length along midline excluding rostrum 120 (122 – 134), width at posterior margin 102 (82 – 112), posterior part with wavy transverse striae. Setae ve represented by alveoli. Scapular setae se separated by 52 (50 – 60). Humeral shields present, poorly developed, separated from epimerites III, not encompassing setae cp. Setae c2 situated on soft tegument, near anterior end of humeral shields. Setae c3 lanceolate, 20 (20 – 24) x 8 (7 – 8). Hysteronotal shield: greatest length from anterior margin to lobar apices 240 (240 – 245), width at anterior margin 96 (96 – 114), anterior margin almost straight, strongly narrowed between levels of setae d2 and e1, anterior half of this shield with wavy transverse striae, area from level of trochanters IV to bases of opisthosomal lobes with small ovate lacunae. Opisthosomal lobes widened in posterior half, posterior end of each lobe with a pair of narrow divergent extensions (outer extension rounded apically, inner extension acute), lateral margins at level of setae h2 convex (Fig. 1A). Terminal cleft a narrow parallel-sided slit, with lateral margins touching, length 56 (50 – 56). Supranal concavity long and narrow, setae f2 and ps2 situated at the same transverse level. Setae h1 situated approximately equidistant from levels of setae f2 and setae e2. Setae h3 lanceolate, with strongly narrowed posterior end and round tips, length 72 (72 – 80), greatest width 14 (11 – 13), setae ps2 74 (60 – 72) long, extending beyond to lobar apices; setae ps1 filiform, 10 (12 – 14) long. Distance between bases of dorsal setae: c2 – d2 110 (112 – 122), d2 – e2 86 (90 – 100), e2 – h2 66 (64 – 70), h2 – h3 36 (32 – 38), h2 – h2 24 (24 – 28), h3 – h3 20 (18 – 20), d1 – d2 46 (46 – 50), e1 – e2 36 (30 – 40), h1 – h2 44 (36 – 44), ps1 – h3 5 (4 – 6).

Epimerites I fused into a Y, sternum about ½ of total length of epimerites, posterior end of sternum with transverse extensions connected with medial part of epimerites II. Coxal fields I, II without large sclerotized areas. Coxal fields I – IV closed. Coxal fields IV with large sclerotized areas at bases of trochanters IV. Genital arch 18 (17 – 19) long, 22 (20 – 24) wide, basal sclerite of genital apparatus large, shaped as inverted trapezium. Aedeagus 61 (58 – 62) long, extending almost to posterior margin of the genital shield. Genital papillae well distinct, situated at midlevel of genital arch. Paragenital apodemes fused each other by their medial parts, anterior branches of these apodemes fused with inner margins of epimerites IIIa and posterior branches fused with epimerites IVa. Genital shield, posterior branches of paragenital apodemes and shield-like areas of coxal fields IV fused altogether to form closed sclerotized area surrounding genital apparatus (Fig. 2E). Setae 4b on anterior branches of paragenital apodemes, setae 4a on posterior branches of paragenital apodemes, setae g on inner margin of genital shield. Opisthoventral shields fused together immediately posterior to anal opening, forming entire shield covering posterior third of idiosoma. Anal shields absent, setae ps3...
Figure 1  *Dolichodectes latilobus* n. sp., male: A – dorsal view; B – ventral view.
Figure 2  *Dolichodectes latilobus* n. sp., male: A – D details of legs, dorsal view, A – leg I, B – leg II, C – leg III, D – leg IV; E – details of opisthosoma.

Legs I longer and thicker than legs II, tarsus I with dorsal and ventral longitudinal crest, tibia and genu I with dorsal longitudinal crest, femur I with dorso-lateral longitudinal crest, femur II with ventral longitudinal crest, genu IV with two ventral crests (Fig. 2A – D). Setae ra of tarsus I spiculiform, setae d of tarsus II half as long as corresponding setae f. Tarsus IV 22 (24 – 30) long, with small apical claw-like process and blunt-angular ventral extension; seta d hemispherical, situated in proximal part of this segment; setae e absent. Length of solenidia: ωI 12 (12 – 16), ωII 15 (16 – 21), φI 80 (81 – 86), φII 46 (44 – 54), φIII 26 (24 – 30), φIV 34 (40 – 41).


Epimerites I fused into Y, sternum strongly narrowed posteriorly, about 1/5 from total length of epimerites (Fig. 3B). Epimerites II extending slightly beyond level of anterior margin of epigynum. Epimerites IVa present. Translobar apodemes of opisthosomal lobes wide, not fused to each other anterior to terminal cleft. Copulatory opening located ventrally, immediately posterior to anal opening. Primary spermaduct with punctuated enlargement in proximal part, secondary spermaducts 10 – 13 long (Fig. 4E). Distance between pseudanal setae: ps2 – ps2 31 – 35, ps3 – ps3 17 – 20, ps2 – ps3 20 – 22.


Differential diagnosis — The new species Dolichodectes latilobus n. sp. is most similar to D. furcilobus Mironov, Literák, Hung and Čapek, 2012 described from the White-rumped Shama, Copsychus malabaricus in Vietnam (Mironov et al. 2012). In both sexes of this species, the prodorsal and hysteronal shields are very close to each other, and the ornamentation of these dorsal shields is similar, with transverse dashes and ovate lacunae; the prodorsal shield has the antero-lateral extensions widely connected to bases of epimerites Ia. In males, the prodorsal shield has the anterior margin with triangular rostral process and bilobate apices of opisthosomal lobes. In females, the shape of lobar region is similar, with median part of anterior margin slightly convex; the supranal concavity is absent; the terminal cleft is parallel-sided, narrow and with lateral margins almost touching. Dolichodectes latilobus n. sp. clearly differs from D. furcilobus by the following features: in males, the opisthosomal lobes are widened in
**Figure 3** *Dolichodectes latilobus* n. sp., female: A – dorsal view; B – ventral view.
the posterior half and the posterior end of each lobe has a pair of divergent extensions (outer extensions are round, inner extensions are pointed); the hysteronotal shield is strongly narrowed between the levels of setae \(d2\) and \(e1\); the genital shields are fused to each other at the midline of the body, and the opisthoventral shields are fused together forming a single shield that covers the posterior quarter of the body; the aedeagus does not reach the anterior margins of the anal suckers; legs I have dorsal crest-like processes on genu, tibia and tarsus, and setae \(ra\) of tarsi I are spiculiform. In females of the new species, the posterior margin of the hysteronotal shield has a deep median invagination extending up to the level of \(e2\) setae and epimerites II extend to the level of the anterior margin of the epigynum. In males of \(D.\ furcilobus\), the opisthosomal lobes are narrowed in the posterior half and the posterior end of each lobe has a pair of pointed and almost parallel extensions; the hysteronotal shield is moderately narrowed between the pairs of setae \(d2\) and \(e1\); the genital shields are separated and the opisthoventral shields are connected by only a wide transverse bridge; the aedeagus reaches the anterior margins of the anal suckers; legs I are devoid of any dorsal processes, and setae \(ra\) of tarsi I are filiform. In females of \(D.\ furcilobus\), the posterior margin of hysteronotal shield is slightly concave and lacks the median invagination, and epimerites II are much shorter and do not extend to the level of the anterior margin of the epigynum.
Family TROUSSARTIIDAE Gaud, 1957
Genus Trouessartia Canestrini, 1899

The feather mite genus *Trouessartia* Canestrini, 1899 comprises about 118 species associated predominantly with birds from the order Passeriformes. A revision of this genus including 71 species was performed by Santana (1976); other species were described in the subsequent 40 years by various authors (Mauri and de Alzuet 1968; Černý and Lukoschus 1975; Gaud 1977; Černý 1979; Mironov 1983; Gaud and Atyeo 1986, 1987; Mironov and Kopij 1996, 2000; Mironov and Galloway 2002; O’Connor et al. 2005; Carleton and Proctor 2010; Constantinescu et al. 2013, 2016a, 2016b, 2017; Mironov and González-Acuña 2013; Hernandes 2014; Hernandes and Valim 2015, Mironov and Overstreet 2016; Mironov and Palma 2016; Hernandes and O’Connor 2017). Hernandes and Valim (2015) suggested that this genus could potentially include over 500 species. A number of undetermined *Trouessartia* species were reported in the following papers: 22 species from Colombia (Barreto et al. 2012), 15 species from Brazil (Silva et al. 2015) 162 species from Southeast Asia (Atyeo in: McClure and Ratanaworabhan 1973). To date, two *Trouessartia* species have been described from birds of the genus *Copsychus*: *Trouessartia microfolia* Gaud, 1952 from *Copsychus albospecularis* (Eydoux and Gervais) and *T. sechellarum* Mironov and Palma, 2016 from *C. sechellarum* Newton (Gaud 1952, Mironov and Palma, 2016). Three presumably new *Trouessartia* species were reported by Atyeo in the aforementioned paper from *Copsychus saularis* (Linnaeus), *C. luzoniensis* (Kittlitz) and *C. malabaricus* (Scopoli), but they have never been described.

*Trouessartia saularis* Constantinescu n. sp. (Figures 5 – 10)

Zoobank: DDA22F74-100B-4863-B579-69860FA8B68A

Type material — Holotype male and 19 paratypes (9 males and 10 females) from *Copsychus saularis* (Linnaeus) (Passeriformes: Muscicapidae), INDONESIA, Kalimantan Island, Lhok Tuan, east of the Kutai National Park, 18 May 1991, bird inventory number 15719-47, no other data.

Type deposition — Holotype male (ANA 822), 7 male (ANA 823 – 829) and 8 female (ANA 830 – 837) paratypes in MGAB collection, 2 male and 2 female paratypes in DZUnesp-RC collection.

Etymology — The species epithet is a noun in apposition given after the species name of the type host.

Description — MALE (holotype, range for 4 paratypes) — Length of idiosoma from anterior end to lobar apices (bases of setae *h3*) 392 (376 – 385), greatest width at level of humeral shields 166 (164 – 178). Length of hysterosoma from sejugal furrow to lobar apices 292 (276 – 292). Prodorsal shield: length along midline 128 (120 – 124), greatest width in posterior part 130 (130 – 132), lateral margins not fused with scapular shields, antero-lateral extensions short and widely rounded, not extending to body margins between bases of legs I, II, posterior margin slightly convex, surface without ornamentation (Fig. 5). Internal scapular setae *si* lanceolate, 24 (20 – 24) long, separated by 44 (38 – 44); external scapular setae *se* situated on prodorsal shield, separated by 80 (80 – 82). Vertical setae *ve* represented by alveoli. Humeral shields with setae *e2* lanceolate, 44 (40 – 46) long. Setae *e3* narrowly lanceolate, with acute apex, 24 (24 – 25) long. Dorsal hysterosoma with prohysteronotal shield and lobar shield connected, delimited from each other by lateral incisions immediately posterior to setae *e2* and small desclerotised median area. Prohysteronotal shield length 160 (146 – 154), width at anterior margin 130 (122 – 130), lateral margins deeply incised at level of trochanters III, bottom of these incisions with C-shaped dark sclerotisation, dorsal hysterosomal apertures (DHA) absent, surface without ornamentation. Dorsal setae *d1*, *d2*, *e2* present, minute. Lobar
Figure 5 Trouessartia saularis n. sp., male: dorsal view.
shield elongated and narrow, length of lobar shield excluding lamellae 100 (94 – 100). Apical parts of opisthosomal lobes approximate, separated by narrow terminal cleft; length of this cleft from anterior end to apices of lamellae 54 (50 – 56), width in anterior part 6 (6 – 8). Lamellae semi-ovate, distinctly attenuate apically, their inner margins almost touching at level of their midlength, outer margins convex, length from bases of setae h₃ to lamellar apices 38 (32 – 40). Setae h₁ anterior to setae h₂. Distance between dorsal setae: c₂ – d₂ 72 (72 – 80), d₂ – e₂ 84 (80 – 82), e₂ – h₂ 80 (72 – 76), h₂ – h₃ 20 (18 – 22), h₂ – h₃ 30 (30 – 32), h₃ – h₂ 28 (28 – 32), d₁ – d₂ 36 (36 – 40), e₁ – e₂ 40 (42 – 47).

Epimerites I free. Rudimentary sclerites rEpIIa small, roughly ovoid. Genital apparatus situated between levels of trochanters III and IV, length 50 (48 – 52), greatest width 20 (18 – 21) (Fig. 6). Epiandrum present, setae g long and filiform, contiguous at bases, postgenital plaque absent. Adanal apodemes heavily sclerotised, with two narrow lateral membranes and without apophyses. Translobar apodeme present. Adanal shields small, teardrop-shaped, bearing setae ps₃. Anal suckers 15 (14 – 16) in diameter. Anterior ends of epimerites IIIa with apices extending beyond level of setae 4b; epimerites IVa short. Setae 4b and 3a situated at the same transverse level, setae g situated posterior to level of setae 4a. Distance between ventral setae: 4b – 3a 31 (30 – 34), 4b – g 70 (64 – 72), g – ps₃ 38 (38 – 42), ps₃ – h₃ 106 (100 – 105).

Setae s₉ of trochanters III narrowly lanceolate, with pointed apices 17 (17 – 20) long, setae cG and mG of genua I, II filiform. Tarsus IV 36 (30 – 34) long; seta d barrel-shaped, with discoid cap; seta e hemispheroid, without cap, situated subapically (Fig. 7D). Length of solenidia: σ III 34 (30 – 34), σ IV 14 (14 – 18), σIII 18 (18 – 22), φIV 40 (35 – 40).

FEMALE (range for 5 paratypes) — Length of idiosoma from anterior end to apices of lamellar lobar processes 404 – 432, greatest width 162 – 184. Length of hysterosoma from sejugal furrow to apices of lamellar lobar processes 288 – 296. Prodorsal shield shaped as in male, 120 – 130 in length, 128 – 138 in width, surface without ornamentation. Setae sι lanceolate, 20 – 24 long, separated by 40 – 44; external scapular setae sε situated on prodorsal shield, separated by 80 – 86. Numeral shields with setae c₂ lanceolate, 40 – 50 long. Setae c₃ narrowly lanceolate, with pointed apices 25 – 26 in length. Hysteronotal shield length from anterior margin to bases of setae h₃ 248 – 256, width at anterior margin 114 – 120, lateral margins incised at level of trochanters III, bottom of these incisions with C-shaped dark sclerotisation, DHA absent, median area from level of setae cp to that of setae h₁ with numerous ovate lacunae (Figure 8). Dorsal setae d₁, d₂, e₂ present. Setae f₂ absent. Setae h₁ short spiculiform, surrounded by small ovoid area of unsclerotised tegument, 5 – 6 long, situated antero-mesal to bases of setae h₂, 18 – 21 from corresponding lateral margins of hysteronotal shield. Setae ps₁ positioned dorsally on opisthosomal lobes, equidistant from outer and inner margins of lobe, close to bases of setae h₃. Distance from bases of setae h₃ to membranous apices of lobes 24 – 34. Supranal concavity open posteriorly into terminal cleft. Length of terminal cleft together with supranal concavity 98 – 110, width of cleft at level of setae h₃ 20 – 24. Interlobar membrane occupying anterior 1/3 of terminal cleft, distance from free margin of interlobar membrane to membranous lobar apices 58 – 66. Spermatheca with primary spermacuda terminating ventrally on interlobar membrane, with long collar, bearing fringe of minute spines on posterior margin, length of secondary spermacuda 20 – 26 (Fig. 10E). Distance between dorsal setae: c₂ – d₂ 68 – 73, d₂ – e₂ 44 – 50, e₂ – h₂ 94 – 100, h₂ – h₃ 44 – 50, h₂ – h₃ 54 – 60, h₃ – h₃ 32 – 40, d₁ – d₂ 32 – 40, e₁ – e₂ 46 – 50, h₁ – h₂ 14 – 16, h₁ – h₁ 34 – 40, ps₁ – h₃ 9 – 10.

Epimerites I free. Epiandrum 42 – 48 in length, 70 – 80 in width (Figure 9). Epimerites IVa present. Anal opening with two small ovate analal sclerites situated at level of its anterior end. Setae s₉ of trochanters III narrowly lanceolate, with pointed apices, 18 – 20 long, setae c₉ and m₉ of genua I, II filiform. Legs IV with ambulacral disc extending to midlevel between setae h₂ and h₃.
Figure 6 Trouessartia saularis n. sp., male: ventral view.
Trouessartia saularis n. sp. morphologically is most similar to T. microfolia Gaud, 1952 described from Copsychus albospecularis from Madagascar (Gaud 1952). In both sexes of these species, the antero-lateral extensions of the prodorsal shield are not connected with bases of epimerites Ia, the lateral margins of the hysteronotal shields are without dorsal hysteronotal apertures and setae \( c_2 \) are lanceolate and almost two times longer than setae \( c_3 \) and \( s_R \). Males of both species have the hysteronotal shield entire and its prohysteronotal and lobar parts delimited from each other by small lateral incisions at the level of setae \( e_2 \). In females, setae \( h_1 \) are spiculiform and the collar of the spermathecal head has a fringe of minute spines. Trouessartia saularis differs from T. microfolia by the following features. In both sexes of T. saularis, the prodorsal shield lacks ornamentation; in males, the terminal lamellae are slightly attenuate apically, the inner ends of epimerites IVa do not extend...
Figure 8 Trouessartia saularis n. sp., female: dorsal view.
Figure 9 Trouessartia saularis n. sp., female: ventral view.
to the level of setae $g$, the postgenital plaque is absent; in females, the terminal cleft width is smaller than the opisthosomal lobe width and the collar of the spermatheca covers 1/2 the length of the secondary spermataducts. In both sexes of $T. microfolia$, the prodorsal shield has an ornamentation of a faint network; in males, the terminal lamellae are bluntly rounded, the inner ends of epimerites IVa extend to the level of setae $g$, the postgenital plaque is present; in females, the terminal cleft is larger than the opisthosomal lobe width, and the collar of the spermatheca covers about 1/6th the length of the secondary spermataduct.
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