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ABSTRACT — Twenty two phytoseiid species belonging to three families Blattisocidae Garman, Otopheidomenidae Treat, and Phytoseiidae Berlese (Acari: Phytoseioidea), collected from Baha, Bisha, Jazan, Madinah, Makkah, Riyadh and Tabuk province of Saudi Arabia (SA), are reported in this paper. Among these, a new species Phytoseius tabukensis Alatawi, Basahih and Kamran, n. sp. is described and illustrated for both females and males, collected from the leaf galls of toothbrush trees, Savadora persica (Salvadoraceae), in association with eriophyid mites (Acari: Eriophyidae). Three genera Amblyseius Berlese, Iphiseius Berlese and Kuzinellus Wainstein and ten species of the family Phytoseiidae, and three species belonging to family Blattisocidae, are reported for the first time from SA. New distribution and host records of eight phytoseiid species previously reported are given. A key to females of Phytoseioidea from Saudi Arabia is presented. Also a key to the horridus species group of the genus Phytoseius Ribaga (Acari: Phytoseiidae) is provided.
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INTRODUCTION

The superfamily Phytoseioidea Berlese (Acari: Mesostigmata) includes four families: Blattisocidae Garman, Otopheidomenidae Treat, Phytoseiidae Berlese, and Podocinidae Berlese. The family Phytoseiidae is the most diverse group of mites with approximately more than 2452 species belonging to 91 genera (Demite et al. 2016). Mites of the family Phytoseiidae are mostly present on plant surface and are predators of phytophagous mites and other small insect pests of various agricultural crops worldwide (Gerson et al. 2003; Chant and McMurry 2007).

The family Blattisocidae is the second most diverse family in the superfamily Phytoseioidea, including 12 genera and more than 329 nominal species, among these more than 200 species belong to the genus Lasioseius Berlese (Moraes et al. 2016). Most species of this family have been reported from litter, while some species have been found on rodent and in bird nests as well as from aerial plant parts (Moraes et al. 2016). Some species of the genus Lasioseius have been reported feeding on phytophagous mites, small insects, springtails and nematodes while some others have also been observed to feed on fungi (Walter and Lindquist 1989; Christian and Karg 2006; Britto et al. 2012).
The families Otopheidomenidae and Podocinidae include 30 and 32 species respectively worldwide (Halliday 1994; Menon et al. 2012; Yan et al. 2012). The phytoseiid mite fauna of SA is poorly known. Previously, 23 species including in eight genera of Phytoseiidae (Dabbour and Abdel-Aziz 1982; Fouly and Al-Rehiayani 2011; Alatawi 2011a, b; Negm et al. 2012 a, b; Basahih et al. 2015; Alatawi et al. 2016), one species each of families Blattisociidae and Otopheidomenidae have been reported from SA (Alatawi 2011a; Negm and Alatawi 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Different provinces of SA (Baha, Bisha, Jazan, Makkah, Makkah, Riyadh, and Tabuk) were surveyed for the collection of phytoseiid mites. Mites were collected either shaking the different plant parts i.e. leaves, flowers and twigs etc. over a white piece of paper and were transferred into 70 % ethanol using camel hair brush or different plant parts, soil and leaf debris were taken to the laboratory and were processed through Tullgren funnels to extract mites. The mite specimens, after mounting on slides in Hoyer’s medium, were examined under a phase-contrast microscope (DM2500, Leica®, Germany) and were identified using literature and diagnostic keys. Template illustrations of different mite body parts of specimens were either pictured with an Auto-montage Software System (SYNCROSCOPY®, Cambridge, UK) attached to the microscope or were drawn with pencil by using a drawing tube (U-DA, Olympus®, Japan) attached to the microscope. Final processing of drawings were made in Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems Incorporated, USA). The terminology used in this study follows that of Chant and McMurtry (2007). The apical tooth is not included in the number of teeth of the cheliceral digits. All measurements are given in micrometers.

Type specimens of the new species have been deposited at Acarology Research Laboratory, Department of Plant Protection, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 22 phytoseiid species belonging to three families Blattisociidae (four species), Otopheidomenidae (one species) and Phytoseiidae (18 species) (Acari: Phytoseioidea) are reported in this study (Table 1). Also, distribution along with collection data and field association of the reported species are presented in table 1. Among these, three genera Amblyseius Berlese, Iphiseius Berlese and Kuzinellus Wainstein and 11 species including new species, Phytoseius tabukensis n. sp., belonging to family Phytoseiidae and three species of the family Blattisociidae are new to the mite fauna of SA.

New distribution and collection data of eight phytoseiid species previously reported are also given in table 1.

Among all phytoseiid species, two generalist predators i.e. Cydnoseius negevi (Swirski and Amitai) and Neoseiulus barkeri Hughes (Acari: Phytoseiidae) were found most abundant and well distributed in all surveyed provinces (Table 1). Previously, 23 phytoseiid species within eight genera have been reported from SA. Among these, 12 species have been found in date palm agroecosystem (Al-Shammery 2010; Alatawi 2011 a, b; Fouly and Al-Rehiayani, 2011; Negm et al. 2012 a, b; Basahih et al. 2015; Alatawi et al. 2016). Cydnoseius negevi (Swirski and Amitai) and Neoseiulus barkeri Hughes naturally occur in date palm orchards in different regions of SA and are the most abundant species found even on date palm trees (Negm et al. 2012 a).

Cydnoseius negevi is a common phytoseiid species found in Middle East countries (Abou-Awad et al. 1989, 1998; Fouly and Laithy 1992; Palevsky et al. 2009; Hountondji et al. 2010) and feeds on wide range of phytophagous mites and small insect pests (Momen 2010).

A biological study of C. negevi and N. barkeri, collected from date palm orchards, was conducted in SA against date palm mite pest, Oligonychus afrasiaticus (McGregor) at (25, 35 °C and 35 ± 10 % RH). This study showed that the C. negevi could be considered as a valuable predator for the control of spider mite pests especially date palm mite at comparatively low RH levels (Negm et al. 2014).
### Table 1: Phytoseioid mites (Acari: Phytoseioidea) collected in the current study from Saudi Arabia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/Subfamily, Genus</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Province/Locality</th>
<th>Host/Habitat</th>
<th>Collection time</th>
<th>Field association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phytoseiidae</td>
<td>N. imbriatus</td>
<td>Jubail, Jazan</td>
<td>Unidentified plants (Poaceae), Cynodon dactylon L. (Poaceae)</td>
<td>Apr. , Sept. 2014</td>
<td>Thrips sp. (Thripidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblyseiinae</td>
<td>N. imbriatus</td>
<td>Jubail, Jazan</td>
<td>Unidentified plants (Poaceae), Cynodon dactylon L. (Poaceae)</td>
<td>Apr. , Sept. 2014</td>
<td>Thrips sp. (Thripidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoseiidae</td>
<td>N. imbriatus</td>
<td>Jubail, Jazan</td>
<td>Unidentified plants (Poaceae), Cynodon dactylon L. (Poaceae)</td>
<td>Apr. , Sept. 2014</td>
<td>Thrips sp. (Thripidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoseiidae</td>
<td>P. tamaricis</td>
<td>Tabuk city</td>
<td>Tamarix sp. (Tamaricaceae)</td>
<td>Oct. 2015</td>
<td>Spinibdella cromini (Baker and Balock) (Prostigmata: Bdellidae) tamarix leafhopper (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* New species
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/Subfamily, genus</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Province/Locality</th>
<th>Host/Habitat</th>
<th>Collection time</th>
<th>Field association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phytoseiidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propriopseiopsis Muma</td>
<td>P. ovatus (Chant)</td>
<td>(Baljurashi) Baha</td>
<td>Malus sp. (Rosaceae)</td>
<td>June 2014</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natricus Amitai and</td>
<td>P. insuetus (Livshitz and Kuznetsovi)</td>
<td>(Wadi E Turbah ), Baha</td>
<td>Tamarix sp.</td>
<td>Apr. 2013</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinberg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latoseius Berlose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otopheidomenidae Treat</td>
<td>N. arabicus Negm and Alatawi</td>
<td>Riyadh, Makkah</td>
<td>Holotrichopus baciferum Forsk. (Boraginaceae)</td>
<td>Apr. 2014, 2016</td>
<td>Aegyptiobius sp. (Tenuipalpidae)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreover, co-occurrence of *C. negevi* along with date palm mite on different grasses (Poaceae) and its abundance and distribution in different provinces of SA as compared to other phytoseiid predators support the previous studies that it can be used as an effective predator against date palm mite under high temperature and low humidity levels. However, it needs further biological studies on this predator at different field conditions to find its potential use against date palm mite.

Another phytoseiid species, *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot) generally feed on plant pollens, was found abundantly in five provinces, Baha, Jazan, Madinah, Tabuk and Riyadh of SA (Table 1). Previously, *E. scutalis* was observed feeding on different phytophagous mites, scale insects and whiteflies in Hail, SA. (AlShammery 2010).

A blattisociid species *Lasioseius parberlesei* Bhattacharyya was reported from Jazan, Madinah, Makkah and Riyadh. It has been considered potentially effective as biological control agent of pest mites of the family Tarsonemidae (Moraes et al. 2015).

*Nabiseius arabicus* Negm and Alatawi (Otophidiomendaidae) was recorded in high numbers on *H. bacciferum* Forssk. (Boraginaceae) in association with *Aegyptobia* sp. (Tenuipalpidae) from Riyadh and Makkah provinces (Table 1). Before, it was recorded on *C. dactylon* from Riyadh (Negm and Alatawi 2013).

---

**Superfamily Phytoseioidea Berlese, 1916**

**Family Phytoseiidae Berlese, 1916**

**Subfamily Phytoseiinae Berlese, 1913**

**Genus Phytoseius Ribaga, 1904**

**Species group horridus**

**Phytoseius tabukensis** Alatawi, Basahih and Kamran n. sp.

Zoobank: 7DDC5CB3-F38A-4F6B-A98C-D30B8D8EAFD0

**Description — Female** (n = 3) (Figs. 1-2) — Measurements of holotype female followed by two female paratypes in parenthesis.

Dorsum (Fig. 1) — Dorsal shield 278 (273 – 283) long, 156 (154 – 157) wide, with 15 pairs of setae. Setae r3 on the shield, setae J2 and R1 absent. Length of dorsal setae j1 21 (21 – 22), j3 18 (18 – 19), j4 6, j5 6 (6 – 7), j6 6, J5 10 (9 – 11), z2 18 (17 – 19), z3 32 (31 – 33), z4 13 (11 – 14), z5 9 (5 – 12), Z4 64 (63 – 65), Z5 53 (50 – 58), s4 55 (53 – 57), s6 73 (70 – 75), and lateral setae r3 28 (26 – 29). All dorsal setae serrate except j4, j5, j6, z4 and z5 smooth. Setae s6 and Z4 finely serrated only on 1/3 distal part, setae Z5, Z4, s4, s6, z3 set on tubercles. Peritremes extending up to setae j1.

Venter (Fig. 2A) — Sternal shield not clear. Distances between ST1-ST1 45 (44 – 45), ST2-ST2 61 (58 – 63), ST3-ST3 76 (72 – 79), ST4-ST4 81 (77 – 100). Genital shield smooth; distance between ST5-ST5 68 (66 – 70); membranous fold between genital and ventrianal shields absent. Ventrianal shield elongate, slightly rounded anteriorly, 74 (73 – 76) long, 46 (44 – 47) wide at the level of para-anal setae, with one pair of pre-anal setae. Four pairs of setae present on the soft cuticle around the ventrianal shield. Lyrifissures not clear. All ventral setae simple except JV5 thick and serrated. Metapodal platelets not clear in all specimens.

Spermatheca (Fig. 2B) — Calyx of spermatheca bell-shaped, 5 long; 4 wide, atrium adjacent to the calyx. Major duct long tube like and minor duct small.

Chelicerae (Fig. 2C) — Fixed digit 19 – 21 long, with two subapical teeth and a pilus dentilis, movable digit 17 (16 – 18) long, with one tooth.

Legs (Fig. 2D) — Macrosetae absent on leg IV, all setae simple.

**Male** (n= 3) (Figs. 3-4)

Dorsum (Fig. 3) — Dorsal shield 229 (226 – 232) long, and 137 (132 – 141) wide, with 15 pairs of setae. Length of dorsal setae: j1 15 – 16, j3 17 – 18, j4=j5 5 – 6, j6 6, J5 7 – 8, z2 14 – 16, Z3 28 – 32, z4 9 – 12, z5 7 – 9, Z4 44 – 46, Z5 39 – 42, s4 44 – 53, s6 47 – 55, and lateral setae r3 21 – 25. All dorsal setae serrate except j4, j5, j6, z4 and z5 which are simple, setae Z5, Z4, s4, s6, z3 and r3 set on tubercles. Peritremes extending to the level between setae j3 and j1.

Venter (Fig. 4A) — Sternogenital shield smooth, 114 long and 63 wide with 5 pairs setae, distances between ST1-ST1 35 – 38, ST2-ST2 44 – 49, ST3-ST3 54 – 58, ST4-ST4 52 – 54; ST5-ST5 43 – 47.Ventrianal
Figure 1: *Phytoseius tabakensis* n. sp., Female, Dorsal shield
FIGURE 2: Phytoseius tabakensis n. sp., Female: A – Venter; B – Spermatheca; C – Chelicera; D – Leg IV
Figure 3: *Phytoseius tabukensis* n. sp., Male, Dorsal shield.
FIGURE 4: Phytoseius tabakensis n. sp., Male: A – Venter; B – Chelicera
shield wider than long, 83 – 84 long, 104 – 109 wide
at the level of ZV2, four pairs of preanal setae, two
para-anal and one postanal setae.

Chelicerae (Fig. 4B) — Fixed digit 16 – 17 long,
with 3 – 4 subapical teeth and a pilus dentilis; mov-
able digit 15 – 16 long, with one tooth. Spermato-
dactyl V-shaped distally.

Legs — As in female.

Material Examined — Holotype female and five
paratypes (two females and three males), Salvador-
a persica L. (Salvadoraceae), 30 km Sherma road,
Duba, Tabuk, 18 Oct. 2015, coll. M. Kamran and
J.H. Mirza.

Etymology — The name of new species is de-
derived from province "Tabuk" where the type spec-
imens were collected.

Remarks — Phytoseius tabukensis n. sp. belongs
to the horridus species group of the genus Phytoseius
due to absence of setae J2 and R1. Within the hor-
ridus species group Chant and McMurtry 1994, 14
species including new species (mentioned in the di-
agnostic key #1) in which macrosetae on tibia IV is
absent. The new species closely resembles P. briga-
low Walter and Beard. However, it is different from
P. briga low by having one pair preanal setae on the
ventrianal shield vs. two pairs, dorsal shield setae
z3, s4, s6, and Z4 serrated vs. smooth in P. briga low.
The new species is different from other 12 species by
the presence of only one pair of preanal setae on the
ventrianal shield and four pairs of setae present on
the soft cuticle surrounding the ventrianal shield.
The other 12 species have 2 or 3 pairs of preanal set-
ae present on the ventrianal shield and 3 pairs of
setae on the soft cuticle surrounding the ventrianal
shield.

Key to the species of horridus species group of the
genus Phytoseius Ribaga (Acari: Phytoseiidae)
with macro setae absent on leg IV tibia

1. Macrosetae on leg IV basi- and disti-tarsus with
hyaline knobbled tips … P. oreillyi Walter and Beard
— Macrosetae on leg IV tarsus absent, if present
short, without hyaline knobbled tips ……………… 2

2. One pair of preanal setae present on ventri-
anal shield ……………… P. tabukensis n. sp.
— Two or three pairs of preanal setae present on
ventrianal shield ……………… 3

3. Two pairs of preanal setae present on ventri-
anal shield, ZV1 off the shield, on the soft cuti-
cle……………… P. briga low Walter and Beard
— Three pairs of preanal setae present on ventri-
anal shield ……………… 4

4. Setae ZV3 absent, two pairs of setae present on
soft cuticle around the ventrianal shield .… P. darwin
Walter and Beard
— Setae ZV3 present, three pairs of setae present on
soft cuticle around the ventrianal shield ………… 5

5. Setae Z4 equal in length as Z5 ……… P. mancus
Afzal et al.
— Setae Z4 distinctly longer than Z5 ……… 6

6. Setae s6 ≥ Z5 ……………………………………….. 7
— Setae s6 distinctly shorter than Z5 ……… P. gleba
Afzal et al.

7. Setae Z4 serrated …………………………………… 8
— Setae Z4 smooth ……………………………… 12

8. Setae j3 reaching the basis of j4 ……… P. glyptos
Afzal et al.
— Setae j3 well behind to the basis of j4 ……… 9

9. Setae s6 = Z5 ………… P. mantoni Walter and Beard
— Setae s6 distinctly longer than Z5 ………… 10

10. Setae z3 smooth, s4 longer than
s6……………… P. litchfieldensis Walter and Beard
— Setae z3 serrated, s4 shorter than s6 ……… 11

11. Setae s4, crossing the basis of setae s6,
s4 55, s6 72-74, setae s4 almost at the level of
r3………………… P. intermedius Evans and
Macfarlane
— Setae s4, just reaching the basis of setae s6, s4
43, s6 52, setae s4 well posterior to the level of r3........................................ P. douglasensis Schicha

12. Setae s4, s6 smooth................................P. acacia Walter and Beard
   — Setae s4, s6 serrated ................................13

13. Setae Z2, JV5 smooth, setae s4 subequal to s6........................................ P. longchuanensis Wu
   — Setae Z2, JV5 serrated, setae s4 shorter than s6........................................ P. ruidus Wu and Li

NEW RECORDS

FAMILY PHYTOSEIIDAE BERLESE, 1916

Subfamily Amblyseinae Muma, 1961
Tribe Neoseiulini Chant and McMurtry, 2003
Genus Neoseiulus Hughes, 1948

Neoseiulus imbricatus Corpuz-Raros and Rimando

Amblyseius (A.) imbricatus Corpuz and Rimando 1966: 127.


Field association — This species was found in association with Thrips sp. (Thripidae).

Remarks — Morphology and setal measurements of specimens from SA are similar to those of original description (Corpuz and Rimando 1966) and reported by Ehara and Bhandhuflalck (1977) from Thailand.

World distribution — Philippines, Thailand, India, Azerbaijan, China, Philippines and Iran (Moraes et al. 2004; Faraji et al. 2007).

Amblyseius zaheri (El-Borolossy)


Field association — The specimens of this species were collected in association with Thrips sp. and Tetranychus urticae (Tetranychidae).

World distribution — Egypt (Abo-Shnaf et al. 2014).

Genus Paragigagnathus Amitai and Grinberg, 1971

Paragigagnathus tamaricis Amitai and Grinberg 1971: 327.


Field association — The specimens of this species were collected along with another predatory mite Spinibdella cronini (Baker and Balock) (Prostigmata: Bdellidae) and tamarix leafhopper (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae).

World distribution — Egypt, Iran, Israel, Jordan, (Moraes et al. 2004; Hajizadeh et al. 2010).

Tribe Amblyseiini Muma, 1961
Genus Amblyseius Berlese, 1914

Amblyseius largoensis (Muma)

Amblyseiopsis largoensis Muma, 1955:266.


Field association — The specimen of A. largoensis were collected together with Thrips sp.
World distribution — Africa: Angola, Ivory Coast, Kenya, Mozambique, Tanzania; North America: California, Florida; Central and South America: Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, Venezuela; Caribbean: Cuba, Jamaica; Middle East: Israel; Southern Europe: Turkey, Georgia; Asia: China, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Iran, Japan, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand; Pacific: Cook Islands, Fiji, Hawaii, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, US Samoa, Vanuatu Australia: Queensland (Moraes et al. 2004).

**Tribe Euseiini Chant and McMurtry, 2005**

**Genus Euseius Wainstein, 1962**

*Euseius africanus* (Evans)


World distribution — Kenya (Moraes et al. 2001).

*Euseius yousefi* (El-Borolossy)


*Euseius yousefi* (El-Borolossy) Moraes et al. 2004: 86.


World distribution — Egypt (Nasr and Abou-Awad 1985).

**Genus Iphiseius Berlese, 1916**

**Iphiseius degenerans** Berlese

*Iphiseius degenerans* Berlese 1921: 95.

Material examined — Five females, *Ocimum basilimum* L. (Lamiaceae), Wadi Turbah, Baha, 19°49′79.7 N, 041°26′47.8 E, 24 Apr. 2013; four females, *O. basilimum*, Wadi The Ayn, 19°55′77.7 N, 041°26′47.8 E, 25 Apr. 2013; ten females, *Juniperus procera* Hochst. (Cupressaceae), Wadi Feeq, 19°58′97.0 N, 041°31′66.5 E, 14 June 2014; six females, *Cuppressus* sp., Wadi Shoraa, 19°49′57.6 N, 041°47′24.0 E, 16 June 2014; six females and two males, *Lavandula* sp. (Lamiaceae); *O. basilicum* and *J. procera*, Talgyah, 19°51′33.6 N, 041°36′15.7 E, 16 June 2014, all from Baha, coll. M. Kamran and J. Basahih.

World association — This species was collected along with *Oligonychus* sp. (Tetranychidae), *Spinindella* sp. and *Thrips* sp.

World distribution — Egypt (El-Badry 1967a; Moraes et al. 2004).

*Typhlodromus* (Anthoseius) persianus McMurtry


Material examined — Five females and two males, *Tamarix* sp., Wadi Turbah, Baha, 19°49′79.7 N, 041°26′47.8 E, 24 Apr. 2013; four females, *J. procera* Hochst, Attalgiha, Baha, 19°51′33.6 N, 041°36′15.7 E, 16 June 2014; two females *J. procera* Wadi Feeg, Baha, 19°58′97.0 N, 041°31′66.5 E, 15 June, 2014; one female, *Salvadora* sp., Mikhwah, Baha, 19°55′47.4 N, 041°26′47.9 E, 14 June 2014; five females, *Pulicaria crispa* (Forssk.), *Olea* sp. (Oleaceae), Sabya, Jazan, 17°06′35.1 N, 042°40′88.7 E, 28 May 2014; one female,
Material examined — Two females and one male, soil debris under date palm trees, Fahad Al Falah Farms, Wadi Dwasir, 12 Dec. 2010; two females, soil debris under date palm trees, Imam Muhammad bin Saud University, Riyadh, 24°48'764N, 46°37'737E, 27 Feb. 2010, coll. J. Basahih.


**Cheiroseius neocorniger** (Oudemans)

**Hypoaspis neocorniger** Oudemans


Type locality and depository — Unknown.

**Lasioseius nambirimae** Krantz 1962: 19.

Material examined — One female, soil debris under date palm trees, King Saud University, Riyadh, 24°43’336N, 46°37’611E, 01 Jan. 2011, coll. W. Negm.


**Subfamily Blattisociinae, 1957**

**Genus Cheiroseius** Berlese, 1916

**Cheiroseius neocorniger** (Oudemans)


Type locality and depository — Unknown.
NEW DISTRIBUTION AND HOST DATA OF SOME PREVIOUSLY REPORTED PYTOSEIID SPECIES FROM SA

FAMILY PHYTOSEIIDAE

Genus Cydnoseius Muma, 1967

Cydnoseius negevi (Swirski and Amitai, 1961)


Field association — This species was found with Tetranychus sp. and Thrips sp.

Previous records — Apple leaves, Malus domestica L. (Alatawi 2011b).

Genus Neoseiulus Hughes, 1948

Neoseiulus burkeri Hughes, 1948


Previous records — Riyadh, ex C. dactylon, Ficus carica L., P. dactylifera, Sesuvium sp., in date palm orchards (Negm et al. 2012b).

Proprioseiopsis ovatus (Garman, 1958)


Previous records — Riyadh and Qassim (Negm et al. 2012b).
Genus Paragigagnathus Amitai and Grinberg, 1971

Paragigagnathus insuetus (Livshitz and Kuznetsov, 1972)


Genus Euseius Wainstein, 1962

Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot, 1958)


Previous records — Riyadh and Qassim, ex Solanum melongena L. (Solanaceae), Vitis vinifera L. (Vitaceae), Ricinus communis (Euphorbiaceae), Fragaria sp. (Rosaceae) (Al-Shammery 2010; Alatawi 2011b).

Key to the females of Phytoseioidea of Saudi Arabia

1. Dorsal shield with less than 20 pairs of setae, setae J1 absent, and with less than 4 pairs of marginal setae on soft integument
   — Dorsal shield with more than 20 pairs of setae, setae J1 present, and usually with more than 4 pairs of marginal setae on soft integument

   — Dorsal shield with less than 20 pairs of setae on soft integument

   7 — Dorsal shield with more than 20 pairs of setae, setae J1 present, and usually with more than 4 pairs of marginal setae on soft integument

FAMILY BLATTISOCIIDAE

Lasioseius parberlesei Bhattacharyya

Lasioseius parberlesei Bhattacharyya 1968: 532.


Field association — This species was found in association with Tetranychus sp.

Previous Records — Hayer, ex Capsicum sp. (Solanaceae) (Alatawi 2011a).

family Blattisocidae Garman

2. Legs II-IV with median lobe of pulvillus broadly rounded; para-anal setae inserted anterior to hind margin of anus, and usually at least slightly shorter than postanal seta

Subfamily Blattisocinae, Genus Lasioseius Berlese
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— Legs II-IV with median lobe of pulvillus slender, acute or narrowly rounded; para-anal setae inserted level with or posterior to hind margin of anus, and usually longer than postanal seta. Subfamily Platyseiinae, Genus Cheiroseius Berlese

3. Tarsus I longer than tibia I. C. neocorniger (Oudemans)
— Tarsus I shorter than tibia I or equal in length. C. serratus (Halbert)

4. Anus remarkably large, length of anus = 1/4 to 1/3 of the length of the ventrianal shield. Lasioseius (Borinquolaelaps) dentatus Fox
— Anus normal, not remarkably large.

5. A number of dorsal setae trispinate. Lasioseius (Crinidens) queenslandicus (Womersley)
— Dorsal setae acicular or pectinate.

6. Ventrianal shield with six pairs of setae. Lasioseius (Lasioseius) parberlesei Bhattacharyya
— Ventrianal shield with five pairs of setae. Lasioseius (Cuspiacus) garambae Krantz

7. Dorsal shield laterally incised, peritremes reduced, extending up to coxae II. Family Otopheidomenidae Treat, Genus Nabiseius Chant and Lindquist. N. arabicus Negm and Alatawi
— Dorsal shield entire, peritremes not reduced, extending anteriorly beyond coxae II. Family Phytoseiidae Berlese.

8. Setae z3 and s6 absent. Either or both setae z3 and s6 present.

9. Sternal shield with median posterior projection; preanal setae JV2 and ZV2 some migrated forward and inserted on anterior margin of ventrianal shield. Tribe Euseiini Chant and McMurtry.
— Sternal shield without median posterior projection; preanal setae JV2 and ZV2 not migrated forward on ventrianal shield.

10. Female ventrianal shield divided into separate ventral and anal shields, dorsal shield strongly sclerotized. Genus Iphiseius Berlese, I. degenerans Berlese
— Female ventrianal shield entire, dorsal shield not strongly sclerotized.

11. Peritreme extending up to the level between z2 and z4, spermathecal calyx long and slender. E. scutalis (Athias-Henriot)
— Peritreme extending forward up to setae j3.

12. Dorsal shield setae in j series small, j1 = 42, j4 = 49, j6 73, J2 75. E. yousefi (El-Borolossy)
— Dorsal shield setae in j series comparatively long, j1 = j4 42, j5 6-8, j6 8-13, J2 8-13.

13. Spermathecal calyx incompletely sclerotized, broad and apparently fused with atrium. E. batus (Ueckermann and Loots)
— Spermathecal calyx completely sclerotized, proximal two third tubular and distal third cup-shaped not fused with the atrium. E. africanus (Evans)

14. Setae s4 more than three times long than setae Z1, Z5 and Z4 markedly longer than other dorsal setae. Tribe Amblyseiini.
— Setae s4 less than three times as long as setae Z1, Z5 and Z4. A. largoensis (Muma)

15. Sternal shield as long or longer than wide, setae J2 present. Genus Amblyseius Berlese, A. largoensis (Muma)
— Sternal shield wider than long, setae J2 absent.

17. Setae Z5 longer than distance between their bases. \textit{P. asetus} (Chant)
   — Setae Z5 longer than distance between their bases. \textit{P. beatu} (Chaudhri)

18. Setae Z5 longer than distance between their bases, sternal shield medially smooth \textit{P. messor} (Wainstein)
   — Setae Z5 shorter than distance between their bases, sternal shield medially reticulated \textit{P. ovatus} (Garman)

19. GeII without and GeII rarely with macrosetae; fixed digit of chelicera usually with fewer than 6 teeth, rarely multidentate; never with these 2 character state together \textit{Tribe Neoseiulini Chant and McMurtry} \textit{P. desertorum} (Amitai and Swirski)
   — GeII without and GeII rarely without macrosetae; fixed digit of chelicera usually with more than 6 teeth, most species with both of these 2 character states \textit{Tribe Typhlodromipsini Chant and McMurty, Typhlodromips De Leon, T. swirskii} (Athias-Henriot)

20. Female ventrianal shield with elongate and prominent waist, length/width ratio at narrowest point 3.3:1.0 primary metapodal platelets unusually elongate \textit{Genus Paragigagnathus Amitai and Grinberg}
   — Female ventrianal shield without prominent waist slightly longer then wider, primary metapodal platelet normal \textit{Genus Neoseiulus Hughes}

21. All dorsal shield setae on prominent tubercles
   — Only posterior setae on dorsal shield or some lateral setae on prominent tubercles

22. All dorsal shield setae long (range from 15 to 55 µm); ventrianal shield with three pairs of preanal setae, with/without pores; movable digit of chelicera smooth \textit{P. desertorum} (Amitai and Swirski)
   — All dorsal shield setae short (range from 11 to 29 µm); ventrianal shield with two pairs of preanal setae and a pair of minute pores; movable digit of chelicera with one blunt tooth \textit{P. tamaricis} Amitai and Grinberg

23. Setae J5 serrated, dorsal shield setae short (9-25) \textit{P. insuetus} (Livshitz and Kuznetsov)
   — Setae J5 simple, dorsal shield setae long (15-50) \textit{P. madinaensis} Alatawi, Kamran and Basalih

24. Macrosetae absent on leg IV \textit{N. mumae} (Shehata and Zaher)
   — Macrosetae present on leg IV

25. Spermatheca with atrium not forked at junction with major duct
   — Spermatheca with atrium forked at junction with major duct

26. Dorsal shield with marked shoulder at the level of setae r3
   — Dorsal shield without marked shoulder at the level of setae r3

27. Sternal and genital shield moderately reticulated; St IV short (less than 20 µm) \textit{N. paspalivorus} (De leon)
   — Sternal and genital shield smooth; StIV long (more than 50 µm) \textit{N. rambami} (Swirski and Amitai)

28. Spermatheca without a stalk between calyx and atrium as atrium undifferentiated or no duller, joint directly to the calyx
   — Spermaheca with atrium and calyx joined by a stalk

29. Sternal shield reticulated \textit{N. zaheri} (El-Borolossy)
   — Sternal shield smooth

30. Fixed digit of chelicerae with 9 to 10 teeth; movable digit with 3 teeth \textit{N. imbricatus} Corpuz-Raros and Rimando
   — Fixed digit of chelicerae with 4-5 teeth; movable
digit with 1 tooth

31. Spermathecal calyx dish to bowl shaped, Z5 80-90.
   — Spermathecal calyx bell shaped, Z5 40-50.

32. Calyx of spermatheca trumpet shaped; genu IV
   with macroseta.
   — Calyx of spermatheca cone-shaped; genu IV
   without macroseta.

33. Spermatheca with a stalk between calyx and
   atrium.
   — Spermatheca without a stalk between calyx and
   atrium.

34. Setae Z4 subequal in length to Z5.
   — Setae Z4 shorter than Z5.

35. Setae Z1, S2, S4 and S5 absent.
   — At least one of setae Z1, S2, S4 and S5 present.

36. Dorsal setae J2 and R1 present.
   — Dorsal setae J2 and R1 absent.

37. Setae z3 absent, Z1 present.
   — Setae z3 present, Z1 absent.

38. Setae z6 present.
   — Setae z6 absent.

39. Setae S5 present.

Subgenus Anthoseius De Leon
   — Setae S5 absent.

Subgenus Typhlodromus, T. (T) pyri Scheuten

40. Female ventrianal shield narrow.
   — Female ventrianal shield almost pentagonal.

41. Setae Z4 serrated, setae Z5 distally knobbled, ventrianal shield with a pair of preanal
   pores.
   — Setae Z4 simple, setae Z5 distally sharpened, ventrianal shield without a pair of preanal
   pores.
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