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ABSTRACT — In this paper we redescribe *Ameroseius eumorphus* Bregetova, 1977 based on morphological characters of female specimens collected from soil and litter from Chaharmahal va Bakhtiari and Khuzestan Provinces, Iran, using comparison with photographs of its holotype. The world distribution, hosts and habitats of this species are reviewed. *Epicriopsis baloghi* Kandil, 1978 is recorded for the first time from Iran. *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* Ma, 2008 is a junior primary homonym of *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* Li and Yang, 2000 and a new replacement name is proposed for this species. We also present corrected data for leg segment sizes of *Ameroseius lidiae* in Khalili-Moghadam and Saboori (2014).
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INTRODUCTION


Different taxonomical studies have been done on ameroseid mites around the world (Westerboer and Bernhard 1963, Bregetova 1977, Karg 1993, Halliday 1997, Moraza 2006, Lindquist et al. 2009, Moraes and Narita 2010, Narita et al. 2013, 2015). *Ameroseius* Berlese, 1904 is the largest genus in this family comprising about 100 species reported from different habitats in various geographical areas (Narita et al. 2015).

The present information on mites of this family in Iran is poor. Twenty three species have been previously reported (Hajizadeh et al. 2013a,b, Kazemi and Rajaei 2013, Nemati et al. 2013, Khalili-Moghadam and Saboori 2014, Khaleghabadian et al. 2015).

The present knowledge on *Ameroseius eumorphus* is based on Bregetova (1977), who provided a brief description of the species. Barilo (1986) presented
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some morphological information about *A. eumorphus*, such as dorsal shield with 28 pairs of lanceolate or very slightly serrate setae, epistome arc-shaped with an elongate, acute and smooth central projection, and ventri-anal shield wider than long. In this paper, redescription of *A. eumorphus* is presented based on morphological characters of female specimens, using comparison with photographs of the holotype in Academy of Sciences, Museum of Anthropology and Ethnography, St. Petersburg, Russia. A new record of *Epicriopsis* for Iranian mite fauna is presented. *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* Ma, 2008 is a junior primary homonym of *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* Li and Yang, 2000 and a new replacement name proposed for this species. Corrected data of leg segment sizes of *Ameroseius lidiæ* in Khalili-Moghadam and Saboori (2014) is presented.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Soil and litter samples were collected from different parts of Chaharmahal va Bakhtiari and Khuzestan Provinces. Mites were extracted from samples using Berlese funnels, cleared in lactic acid at 55 °C and then mounted in Hoyer’s medium on permanent microscope slides. Line drawings were made using a phase-contrast Olympus BX52 microscope equipped with a drawing tube. Figures were performed with Corel X-draw software, based on the scanned line drawings. Measurements are expressed as mean (minimum-maximum) ranges in micrometers (µm). The dorsal setae notation and leg chaetotaxy followed that of Lindquist & Evans (1965) and Evans (1963b), respectively. Lengths of leg segments were measured dorsomedially, and tarsi were measured with the stalk and pretarsus. Specimens on which this paper is based are deposited in the Acarological Laboratory, Department of Plant Protection, Agricultural College, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord (APAS) and some of them are deposited in the Acarological collection of Jalal Afshar Zoological Museum (JAZM), Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**REDESCRIPTION**

*Ameroseius Berlese, 1904*


For diagnosis of the genus see Halliday (1997) and Narita et al. (2013).

*Ameroseius eumorphus* Bregetova, 1977

(Figures 1-3)


Diagnosis — Palp tarsal claws and corniculi two and three tined respectively; setae h1 about twice thicker than h2 and h3; fixed cheliceral digit with four large teeth near the base of digit and subapical offset gabelzahn. Dorsal shield with 28 pairs of lanceolate setae and very slightly serrate (j1 leaf-shaped), tips of J2 and J4 do not reach the base of J4 and Z5, respectively. Opisthogasteric region with 6 pairs of setae, 2 of which on the ventri-anal shield (in addition to circumanal setae). Ventri-anal shield wider than long, anterior margin with clearly depression, pre-anal setae (jv2) set closed together.

Adult female (Figures 1-3) (5 specimens measured)

Gnathosoma (Figure 1A) — Hypostomal and palpcocal setae smooth; h1 26 (26-27), h2 21 (20-22), h3 18 (16-19) and pc 24 (22-26). Deutostral groove narrow; transverse rows of denticles not discernable on any of the specimens examined. Corniculi trifid. Epistome arc-shaped with an elongate, acute and smooth central projection (Figure 1B). Chelicera with dorsal seta and dorsal lyrifissure, fixed cheliceral digit 24 (22-26) long, with four large teeth near the base of digit and subapical offset gabelzahn, setaceous pilus dentilis not observed.
movable digit 22 (22-24) long and with 2 small sub-apical teeth, middle cheliceral segment 53 (50-56) long (Figure 1C). Palpus 72 (66-79) long; number of setae from trochanter to tibia: 2, 5, 6, and 14. Palp apotele bifid (Figure 1D).

Dorsal idiosoma (Figure 2) — Dorsal shield entire, totally reticulate; reticula formed by simple lines; 360 (338 – 400) long (from its anteromedian edge anterior to bases of setae j1 to its posteromedian edge posterior to bases of setae Z5) and 235 (218 – 255) wide at level of s6; with 28 pairs of setae, 18 pairs on podonotal region (j1-6, z2, z4-5, s1-2, s4-6, r2-5) and 10 pairs on opisthonotal region (j2, j4, Z1-2, Z4-5, S2-5); dorsal setae lanceolate and very slightly serrate, j1 leaf-shaped, serrate on both sides and slightly thicker than the other dorsal setae (Figure 2). Lengths of dorsal setae: j1 24 (23-25); j2 30 (29-31); j3 32 (31-34); j4 37 (36-38); j5 45 (43-46); j6 59 (52-64); J2 68 (67-71); J4 72 (69-76); z2 36 (35-37); z4 36 (34-37); z5 47 (44-50); Z1 43 (41-46); Z2 43 (45-53); Z4 59 (54-64); Z5 51 (52-53); s1 23 (22-24); s2 33 (31-36); s4 39 (34-42); s5 43 (42-44); s6 42 (42-43); S2 41 (40-42); S3 38 (37-40); S4 42 (38-45); S5 43 (42-43); r2 32 (32-34); r3 28 (27-30); r4 34 (33-35); r5 33 (31-34). A few setae reach the bases of the subsequent setae of each series but tips of J2 and J4 do not reach the base of J4 and Z5, respectively. Pore-like structures on podonotal and opisthonotal regions were not clear and not observed.

Ventral idiosoma (Figure 3) — Tritosternum 73 (67-75) with columnar base 25 (24-26) and pilose laciniae 43 (40-44) which are fused along basal part for 18 (17-19). Sternal shield reticulate; 74 (71-79) long along midline from anterior edge to its posterior margin and 68 (66-73) wide at widest level, bearing two pairs of setae: st1 23 (22-24) and st2 22 (20-23) and two pairs of lyrifissures (iv1, iv2). Setae st3 21 (19-23) located on two small plates adjacent to posterior margin of sternal shield and st4 19 (15-21) on unsclerotized cuticle. Third pair of lyrifissures (iv3) located on posterior edge of metasternal plates. Genital shield reticulate, 78 (77-84) long at midline and 89 (86-91) wide at widest area, truncate posteriorly, bearing genital setae st5 20 (17-22); a pair of pores on soft cuticle postero-laterad of st5. Ventri-anal shield reticulate, wider than long, anterior margin with clearly depression, and a pair of pre-anal setae (Jv2) set closed together, 113 (107-
Figure 2: Ameroseius eumorphus Bregetova, 1997 (female): A – dorsal shield, B – j1, C – dorsal setae.
long at midline from the anterior margin to the posterior edge of the cribrum and 131 (127-144) wide at the widest part, bearing 2 pair of setae, Jv2 21 (18-22) and Jv3 21 (18-23) in addition to para-anal setae 19 (18-21) and post-anal seta 27 (26-28); unsclerotized cuticle of opisthogasteric region with setae Jv1 19 (15-22), Jv5 63 (58-66), Zv1 18 (16-19) and Zv2 15 (14-16), 4 pairs of lyrifissures, a pair of elongate metapodal platelets with minute platelets located at posterior margin, membranous layer and remnants platelets are between genital and ventrianal shield. All ventral setae setiform and smooth, Jv5 leaf-shaped similar to dorsal setae and post-anal seta serrate. Remnants of endopodal shield represented by a triangular platelets between coxae I and II, II and III, and stretched, curved triangular platelet between coxae III and IV. Remnants of exodopodal shield represented by a triangular platelets between coxae I-II and coxae II-III. Peritreme almost reaching level of setae j2. Peritrematal shield wide, with 5 pore-like structures and lyrifissures on exterior lateral margin: 1 lyrifissure between coxae I-II, 1 pore-like structure at level of anterior margin of coxa II, 1 large pore at level of anterior margin of coxa III, 1 pore-like structure located at posterior side of stigmatal opening and 1 lyrifissure near the tip of the shield posterior to coxa IV. A minute platelet present beneath of arched poststigmatal plate.

Legs (Figure 4) — Tarsi of all legs with pulvilli and claws. The chaetotaxy and measurements of all leg segments are as follows:

**leg I** (Figure 4A), 347 (348-352), coxa 50 (52-55) 0 0/1 0 0/1 0, trochanter 27 (25-29) 1 0/1 1/2 1, basifemur 15 (14-16), telofemur 40 (36-44) 2 3/1 2/2 2, genu 45 (43-47) 2 3/2 2/1 2, tibia 44 (43-45) 2 3/2 2/1 2, tarsus (with stalk and pretarsus) 93 (83-103);

**leg II** (Figure 4B), 269 (248-290), coxa 24 (23-26) 0 0/1 0/1 0 (pv seta tick and barbed) trochanter 26 (25-27): 1 0/1 0/2 1, basifemur 14 (13-15), telofemur 41 (32-47) 2 2/1 2/2 1, genu 34 (32-36) 2 3/1 2/1 2, tibia 30 (29-31) 2 2/1 2/1 2, tarsus (with stalk and pretarsus) 93 (83-103);

**leg III** (Figure 4C), 267 (255-275), coxa 26 (24-27) 0 0/1 0/1 0, trochanter 29 (28-31) 1 0/1 0/2 1, basifemur 13 (14-16), telofemur 32 (27-36) 2 2/1 2/1 1, genu 31 (29-32) 2 2/1 2/1 2, tibia 30 (28-32) 2 1/1 2/1 2, tarsus (with stalk and pretarsus) 91 (84-97);

**leg IV** (Figure 4D), 341 (328-354), coxa 27 (21-29) 0 0/1 0/0 0, trochanter 33 (28-38) 1 0/1 0/2 1, basifemur 17 (16-18), telofemur 47 (45-51) 1 2/1 1/0 1, genu 45 (43-47) 2 2/1 2/1 2, tibia 44 (41-46) 2 2/1 2/1 2, tarsus (with stalk and pretarsus) 121 (113-127). Tarsi I-IV with 18 setae 3 3/2 3/2 3 + mv, md. Legs I and IV longer than legs II and III.

Material examined — Specimens were collected at the following places, habitats, numbers and dates: Chaharmahal Va Bakhhtiari Province, Shahrekrod city (32°14'32" N, 50°50'26" E, 2039 m a.s.l.), soil, 4 females, coll. A. Khalili-Moghadam, 20 July 2013; Lordegan city (31°31'11" N, 50°37'51" E, 1482 m a.s.l.), litter, 6 females, coll. A. Khalili-Moghadam, 28 March 2014; Khuzestan Province, Ahvaz city (indeterminate), soil, 1 female, coll. A. Nemati, 5 July 1998; Ahvaz city (31°18'84" N, 48°39'89" E, 17 m a.s.l.), soil of ant nest, 4 females, coll. F. Vatankhah, 6 March 2014.

Remarks — According to Evans 1963 (p. 300) adult female of Ameroseiidae have 9 setae on tibia IV: 2-2/1-2/1-1. This is different from what was observed for *Ameroseius potchefstroomensis* (Kruger and Loots, 1980) and *A. mineiro* Narita et al., 2013 (presence of pl2). According to our observations on 30 specimens of *A. eumorphus*, it revealed that this situation (the presence of pl2) also is correct for this species.

Narita et al. (2013) considered *plumosus* species-group includes 8 species. This species group has the following features: dorsal shield reticulate and without pit-like depressions; with 28 pairs of setae, exceptionally 26 pairs (for *Ameroseius dipankari* Bhattacharyya, 2004); dorsal setae are lanceolate to leaf-shaped, also opisthogastric region with 5-6 pairs of setae, which 2 pairs are located on ventrianal shield.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Ameroseius eumorphus</th>
<th>Ameroseius potchefstroomensis</th>
<th>Ameroseius pseudoplumosus</th>
<th>Ameroseius wahabi</th>
<th>Ameroseius parplumosus</th>
<th>Ameroseius plumosus</th>
<th>Ameroseius mineiro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. pairs of dorsal setae</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dorsal setae shape</td>
<td>lanceolate and very slightly serrate</td>
<td>lanceolate and very slightly serrate</td>
<td>lanceolate and slightly serrate</td>
<td>leaf-shaped</td>
<td>leaf-shaped; and very slightly serrate</td>
<td>leaf-shaped; and leaf-shaped</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j1 shape</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td>feather-shaped</td>
<td>feather-shaped</td>
<td>feather-shaped</td>
<td>leaf-shaped; and leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of J2 and J4</td>
<td>elongate, although tip not reaching base on J4, Z5, respectively</td>
<td>elongate, tip reaching base on J4, Z5, respectively</td>
<td>short, wide, tip reaching ca. 0.5x distance of J2-J4 and J4-Z5, respectively</td>
<td>short, wide, tip reaching ca. 0.5x distance of J2-J4 and J4-Z5, respectively</td>
<td>short, wide, tip reaching ca. 0.5x distance of J2-J4 and J4-Z5, respectively</td>
<td>short, wide, tip reaching ca. 0.5x distance of J2-J4 and J4-Z5, respectively</td>
<td>short, wide, tip reaching ca. 0.5x distance of J2-J4 and J4-Z5, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. teeth on fixed chelicerai digit</td>
<td>4 large teeth near the base of digit, and 1 minute subapical tooth</td>
<td>4 large teeth in mid-region of digit, and 1 minute subapical tooth</td>
<td>4 large teeth near the base of digit, and 1 minute subapical tooth</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 large teeth near the base of digit, and 2 minute subapical teeth</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. teeth on movable chelicerai digit</td>
<td>2 minute subapical teeth</td>
<td>2 minute subapical teeth</td>
<td>2 minute subapical teeth</td>
<td>2 (not defined in the description)</td>
<td>edentate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 minute subapical teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. corniculi teeth</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h1 thickness</td>
<td>similar to setae h2-3</td>
<td>similar to setae h2-3</td>
<td>similar to setae h2-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>similar to setae h2-3</td>
<td>similar to setae h2-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison of *A. eumorphus* with closely related species.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th><em>Ameroseius eumorpus</em></th>
<th><em>Ameroseius potchefstroomensis</em></th>
<th><em>Ameroseius pseudoplumosus</em></th>
<th><em>Ameroseius wahabi</em></th>
<th><em>Ameroseius parplumosus</em></th>
<th><em>Ameroseius plumosus</em></th>
<th><em>Ameroseius mineiro</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. pairs of setae in opisthogastric region post anal setae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sDlltial setae</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sternal shield ornamentation</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td>serrate</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td>slightly flat</td>
<td>pilose</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genital shield ornamentation</td>
<td>reticulated</td>
<td>without reticulation</td>
<td>reticulate</td>
<td>without reticulation</td>
<td>fullly reticulated</td>
<td>reticulate</td>
<td>scantly reticulate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: The distribution, habitat and host of *A. eumorphus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Habitat/Host</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>soil and manure</td>
<td>Arjomandi et al. 2013, Hajizadeh et al. 2013b, Kazemi and Rajaei 2013, Nemati et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td><em>Meriones tamariscinus</em> (Rodentia: Muridae)</td>
<td>Fedorova and Kharadov 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>soil and forests</td>
<td>Salmane 2005 and 2011, Salmane and Brumelis 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td><em>Mus musculus</em> (Rodentia: Muridae)</td>
<td>Haitlinger and Turek 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>under plants on a peat slope on rocks, soil from a rabbit warren</td>
<td>Bregetova 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Oromí and García 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>under plants on a peat slope on rocks, soil from a rabbit warren, on woodlice</td>
<td>Bregetova 1977, Khamraev 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One species of this group is *A. eumorphus* which is similar to *Ameroseius mineiro*, *A. wahabi* (Ibrahim and Abdel-Samed, 1999), *A. parplumosus* (Nasr and Abou-Awad, 1986), *A. potchefstroomensis*, *A. plumosus* (Oudemans, 1902) and *A. pseudoplumosus* Rack, 1972. To compare *A. eumorphus* with similar species, several important taxonomic characters were considered and comparison between them is shown in Table 1.

Distribution, habitat and host of *A. eumorphus* — The distribution, habitat and host of *A. eumorphus* are presented in Table 2.

Type deposition — Collection of the Zoological Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Leningrad.

*Epicriopsis baloghi* Kandil, 1978


**Distribution and habitats** — This species is recorded for the first time in Iran (Saman city, 32°38’02” N, 50°51’04” E, 2009 m a.s.l.), litter, 2 females, coll. A. Khalili-Moghadam, 17 April 2014.

It was previously recorded from Hungary (Kandil 1978) and mosses in a pine forest in Latvia (Salmane 2006 and 2011, Salmane and Brumelis 2010).

Type deposition — holotype and 5 paratypes deposited in the Hungarian Natural History Museum, Hungary; 14 paratypes in the Faculty Agricultural Sciences at Moshtohor, Egypt.

*Ameroseius chinensis* nom. nov.


Ye and Ma (1993) described *Ameroseius crassisetosus* from *Apodemus sylvaticus* (Rodentia) in Xinjiang Province, China. Later, Li and Yang (2000) described *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* from compost in Qinghai Province, China. Then, Ma (2006) synonymized the two mentioned species, but according to our observation and checking of descriptions and figures of these two species and also based on the following diagnostic characters, we believe that these species are not synonyms and each of those are considered as a valid and separate species. Differential characters are as follows: dorsal shield with 27 pairs of setae in *A. qinghaiensis* and 29 pairs in *A. crassisetosus*; the length of J4 setae in *A. crassisetosus* is enough long to pass the base of Z5, but these don’t reach the base of Z5 in *A. qinghaiensis*; dorsal
### Table 3: Comparison among *A. crassisetosus* Ye and Ma, 1993, *A. qinghaiensis* Ma, 2008 and *A. qinghaiensis* Li and Yang, 2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th><em>A. crassisetosus</em> Ye and Ma, 1993</th>
<th><em>A. qinghaiensis</em> Li and Yang, 2000</th>
<th><em>A. qinghaiensis</em> Ma, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. pairs of dorsal setae</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dorsal shield ornamentation</td>
<td>reticulated and with some deep depression</td>
<td>reticulated and with some deep depression</td>
<td>reticulated and with simple lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j1 shape</td>
<td>leaf-shaped and serrate</td>
<td>leaf- shaped and pilose</td>
<td>pilose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of dorsal setae specially j series</td>
<td>tip reaching the base on next seta</td>
<td>tip not reaching the base on next seta</td>
<td>tip reaching well beyond the base of next seta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of J2 and J4</td>
<td>tip reaching the base on J4, Z5, respectively</td>
<td>tip not reaching the base on J4, Z5, respectively</td>
<td>tip reaching well beyond the base on J4, Z5, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dorsal setae thickness</td>
<td>quite thick</td>
<td>moderately tick</td>
<td>moderately tick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anterior margin of dorsal shield</td>
<td>with 3-6 denticles</td>
<td>normal (without denticles)</td>
<td>normal (without denticles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anterior margin of sternal shield</td>
<td>slightly concave</td>
<td>very slightly concave</td>
<td>deeply concave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>posterior margin of genital shield</td>
<td>truncate</td>
<td>convex</td>
<td>truncate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. pairs of setae in opisthogastric region</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. pairs of setae on ventral anal shield</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length and shape of Jv5 setae</td>
<td>short; similar to ventral setae</td>
<td>short; similar to ventral setae</td>
<td>long; similar to dorsal setae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. corniculi teeth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4: Corrected measurements for the lengths of leg segments of *Ameroseius lidiae* Bregetova, 1977.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leg</th>
<th>Khalili-Moghadam and Saboori (2014)</th>
<th>Corrected lengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leg I</td>
<td>140 (136–147)</td>
<td>341 (332–359)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coxa I</td>
<td>21 (20–25)</td>
<td>51 (49–61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanter I</td>
<td>11 (9–15)</td>
<td>27 (22–37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basi-femur I</td>
<td>7 (6–7)</td>
<td>(15–17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telo-femur I</td>
<td>20 (18–22)</td>
<td>49 (44–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu I</td>
<td>20 (18–21)</td>
<td>49 (44–51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia I</td>
<td>19 (17–21)</td>
<td>46 (41–51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarsus I</td>
<td>42 (41–44)</td>
<td>102 (100–107)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Leg II  | 120 (113–138)                       | 293 (275–336)     |
| Coxa II | 14 (12–15)                           | 34 (29–36)        |
| Trochanter II | 17 (15–19)                       | 41 (36–46)        |
| Basi-femur II | 8 (7–9)                          | (17–22)           |
| Telo-femur II | 17 (15–19)                        | 41 (36–46)        |
| Genu II | 15 (13–20)                           | 36 (31–48)        |
| Tibia II| 14 (11–20)                           | 34 (27–49)        |
| Tarsus II| 37 (31–44)                           | 90 (76–107)       |

| Leg III | 112 (106–118)                       | 273 (258–288)     |
| Coxa III| 13 (12–14)                           | 32 (29–34)        |
| Trochanter III | 15 (12–16)                      | 36 (30–39)        |
| basi-femur III | 8 (6–9)                        | (15–22)           |
| Telo-femur III | (14–15)                        | (34–36)           |
| Genu III| 13 (12–14)                           | 32 (29–34)        |
| Tibia III | 12 (10–14)                        | 29 (24–34)        |
| Tarsus III| 36 (35–38)                           | 88 (85–93)        |

| Leg IV  | 137 (115–154)                       | 334 (281–376)     |
| Coxa IV | 14 (12–18)                           | 34 (29–44)        |
| Trochanter IV | 19 (15–21)                      | 46 (36–51)        |
| basi-femur IV | (9–11)                        | (22–27)           |
| Telo-femur IV | 19 (15–21)                        | 46 (37–51)        |
| Genu IV | 16 (14–19)                           | 39 (34–46)        |
| Tibia IV | 16 (13–19)                           | 39 (32–46)        |
| Tarsus IV | 43 (37–47)                          | 104 (90–15)       |
setae in *A. crassisetosus* are much thicker than those in *A. qinghaiensis*. Also, in addition to the diagnostic characters mentioned above, there are other small diagnostic characters which are shown in Table 3.

Ma (2008) collected another *Ameroseius* species from *Rattus norvegicus* (Rodentia) in Qinghai Province, China, described it as a new species and considered the same name (*Ameroseius qinghaiensis* for it). According to original description and figures of *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* in these two papers (Li and Yang 2000 and Ma 2008), they refer to two different species. Characters distinguishing the two species are shown in Table 3. *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* Ma, 2008 is a junior primary homonym of *A. qinghaiensis* Li and Yang, 2000 now. For this reason, we propose *Ameroseius chinensis* nom. nov as a replacement name for *Ameroseius qinghaiensis* Ma, 2008.

Etymology — The specific epithet is derived from the country of origin, China.

Note on *Ameroseius lidiae* Bregetova, 1977 — *Ameroseius lidiae* Bregetova, 1977 was redescribed by Khalili-Moghadam and Saboori (2014). The lengths presented for the leg segments were incorrect and the amendment data of leg segment sizes is presented as in Table 4.
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