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NEW SPECIES FOF THE GENUS FAVOGNATHUS LUXTON
(ACARI: CRYPTOGNATHIDAE)
FROM IRAN

by M. KHANJANI1 & E.A. UECKERMANN2
(Accepted February 2008)

SUMMARY: Favognathus mirazii n. sp. is described from Iran. This is the first report of the family Cryptognathidae from Iran. Favognathus cordylus, F. gersoni and F. barrasi are redescribed. A key to the species of the world is given.

RÉSUMÉ : Favognathus mirazii n. sp. est décrit d’Iran. Il s’agit de la première mention de la famille des Cryptognathidae d’Iran. Favognathus cordylus, F. gersoni et F. barrasi sont redécrits. Une clé des espèces au niveau mondial est fournie.

SUMMERS & CHAUDHRI (1965) recognized two morphologically distinct species-groups (Imbricatus and Favus) in the family Cryptognathidae. LUXTON (1973) established two new subgenera, viz. Favognathus for Favus and Cryptognathus for Imbricatus. Later LUXTON (1987) decided to elevate these two subgenera to generic status placing 13 species in Favognathus. However, F. texasensis and F. dakotaensis (McDaniel & Bolen) (1979) from South Dakota and Texas were overlooked by LUXTON (1987). FLECHTMANN (1971) described Favognathus agapictus (as Cryptognathus agapictus) in his Ph.D. thesis; however, as it is not yet published, we excluded it here (International Code of Nomenclature, Article 9 [11], 1985). MEYER & UECKERMANN (1989) added two new species from South Africa, LUXTON (1993) two from Israel, SWIFT (1996) three from Hawaii and KOÇ & AYYILDIZ (1999) and KOÇ & AKYOL (2003) three from Turkey. We decided to agree with LUXTON (1987) and also consider F. orbiculatus (Livshitz) (in KUZNETZOV & LIVSHITZ, 1974) a synonym of F. curcubita (Berlese) till the type specimens of both species can be compared. KOÇ & AYYILDIZ (1999) disagreed with this based on LIVSHITZ describing the dorsal and ventral shields only as punctate. However, in his drawings reticulations are indicated thus may have observed reticulations and only accidentally referred to it as absent in his description. This synonymy thus brings the number of species to 25.

MEYER & UECKERMANN (1989) based their description of F. pongoensis on two females. However, a re-examination of these two specimens showed that it actually is a male and a female, therefore the figures of the leg segments are those of the male and the rest of the female.
Figs. 1-6.—Farognathus mirazii n. sp. Female. 1.—Dorsal view. 2.—Ventral view. 3.—Prosternal apron. 4.—Palpus. 5.—Leg I. 6.—Leg II.
According to Kuznetsov & Livshitz (1974) the setal formulae of all leg segments, except tarsi, are similar for all four the new species they described, however, in the drawing of *F. distortus* (Kuznetzov), the only one drawn with its legs intact, femur I is drawn with four setae.

Koç & Ayıldız (1999) compiled a key to all the known species of *Favognathus* to include their two new species. We also compile a key to all the species here, but must admit that it was a strenuous task and we wish to compliment the previous authors on their brave attempt. Important details are lacking in descriptions and a revision of the genus is needed, and therefore that *Favognathus gersoni* Luxton, *F. cordylus* Luxton and *F. barrasi* (Smiley & Moser) are redescribed here.

**Material and Methods**

Mites, sampled in humus and soil, were taken from pear orchards in the vicinity of Baneh, Kurdistan Province, Iran. The samples were extracted in Berlese funnels using 40 watt bulbs. Mites were preserved in 80% ethanol, cleared in a lactophenol solution (Krantz, 1978) and mounted on microscope slides in HOYER’S medium (Evans, 1992).

All measurements are given in micrometer (μm). Body length includes hood and anal covers. Legs were measured from base of coxae to tip of pretarsi; length of idiosoma includes the gnathosoma and the width was measured at the level of setae d. Dorsal setal notations follow Kethley (1990).

Holotype specimen is deposited in the National Collection of Arachnida of ARC- Plant Protection Research Institute, Pretoria, South Africa. Paratype female is deposited in the Arthropod Collection of the Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamadan, Iran.

**Genus *Favognathus* Luxton, 1973**

*Cryptognathus* (*Favognathus*) Luxton, 1973: 57

*Favognathus* Luxton, 1987: 113;

Meyer & Ueckermann, 1989: 2; Swift, 1996: 86.

Type-species: *Cryptognathus cucurbita* Berlese, 1916.

This genus can be identified by the wedge-shaped prosternal apron at base of gnathosoma which is ornamented with dimples and the presence of two pairs of aggenital setae. However, Swift (1996) described two species with only one pair of aggenital setae.

**Favognathus mirazii** n. sp. (Figs. 1-6)

**Female.** Dimension (n=2): holotype (measurements of paratype): body length: 298 (300), breadth 185 (185), leg I 263 (278), leg II 200 (200), leg III 200 (238), leg IV 220 (208).

Gnathosoma (Figs. 3-4): Hypostome narrow, ventrally with one pair of long setae m and two pairs of adoral setae (or1,2); palp 91 long, palp tarsus with four eupathidia, 4 setae and 1 solenidion, tibia with 3, genu with 2 and femur with 3 setae.

Dorsum (Fig. 1): Hood with 6-7 foveolae per longitudinal row, foveolae round to polygonal, anterior margin smooth; dorsum ornamented with evenly spaced pores and vague reticulations laterally, with 11 pairs of long, simple setae; two pairs of eyes and 2 pairs of slit-like cupules (ai, am) anal opening terminally with 3 pairs of anal setae (ps3, 19(12), ps2, 13(17), ps1 14(16); length of dorsal setae as follows: ve 17(20), sei 27(23), sce 26(27), c1 36(35), c2 34(31), d 33(35), e1 34(33), e2 33(35), f 32(29), h1 32(20), h2 21(22).

Venter (Fig. 2): Prosternal apron wedge shaped, with 17 foveolae; ventral pattern similar to dorsum, ventro-lateral reticulations are faint and with pores; venter with 4 pairs of ventral setae, genital opening with 2 pairs of genital setae (g1,2) (14) and two pairs of aggenital setae, ag1,2 (12-14); one pair of slit-like cupules posterior to genital opening pores (ih).

Legs (Figs. 5-7): Leg I longest; setal formulæ of leg segments (solenidia in parentheses and not included in setal counts) as follows: coxae 2-1-2-1; trochanters 1-1-2-1; femora 4-3-2-2; genua 5(k) - 4(k) - 2-3; tibiae 5(qg, q)-5(qg)-4(qg)-3; tarsi 15 (qg, φ)-12(qg, ω)-9(ω)-9(ω). Addorsals on tarsus II dissimilar. Trochanters I-II with large large punctuations.

**Male:** Unknown

**Type material:** Female holotype from soil under pear tree, Baneh Kurdistan Province, western Iran, near border between Iran-Iraq; 28 October 2003, coll. M. Khanjani; paratype female from soil in strawberry field, Baneh, 24 January 2004, coll. M. Khanjani.
Figs. 7-11. — *Favognathus gersoni* Luxton. Female. 1. — Dorsal view. 2. — Ventral view. 3. — Palpus. 4. — Leg I. 5. — Leg II.
**Favognathus gersoni** Luxton (Figs. 7-11)
**Favognathus gersoni** Luxton, 1993: 1213
(New description)


Gnathosoma (Fig. 9): Hypostome narrow, ventrally with one pair of long setae m and two pairs of adoral setae (or1,2); palp tarsus with four eupathidia, 4 setae and 1 solenidion, tibia with 3, genu with 2 and femur with 3 setae.

Dorsum (Fig. 7): Hood with 6-7 foveolae per longitudinal row, foveolae round to polygonal, anterior margin smooth; dorsum completely reticulated with evenly spaced pores, with 11 pairs of long, simple setae; two pairs of eyes and 2 pairs of slit-like cupules (ai, am) anal opening terminally with 3 pairs of anal setae (ps1, ps2), 13-16; length of dorsal setae as follows: ve 16-19, sci 34-38, sce 34-38, c1 41-44, c2 41-44, d 44, e1 41-44, e2 44, f 41, h1 38, h2 34-38.

Venter (Fig. 8): Prosternal apron wedge shaped, with 16 foveolae; venter with reticulations laterally and pores and striations medially; venter with 4 pairs of ventral setae, genital opening with 2 pairs of genital setae (g1,2) and two pairs of aggenital setae, ag1,2 (13-16).

Legs (Figs. 10-11): Legs I and II equally long; setal formulae of leg segments (solenidia in parentheses and not included in setal counts) as follows: coxae 2-1-2-1; trochanters 1-1-2-1; femora 4-3-2-2; genua 5(k)-4(k)-2-3; tibiae 5(q, q, q)-4(q, q)-4(q, q)-3; tarsi 15 (q, q, q)-11 (q, q, q)-9(q, q, q). Addorsals on tarsus I similar.

**MATERIAL EXAMINED:** Two female paratypes from mosses, Rami, Israel, 31 March 1970, coll. U. Gerson.

**DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS:** The absence of clusters of closely set punctuations near setae c1 and d, venter with conspicuous ventral setae and setal formulae of tarsi, 15-11-9-9 and femora, 4-3-2-2, distinguish this species from the closely related *F. observabilis* (Kuznetzov, 1974).

---

**Favognathus cordylus** Luxton (Figs. 12-16)
**Favognathus cordylus** Luxton, 1993: 1215
(New description)

**FEMALE.** Dimension (n=1): Paratype: length of body (including hood and anal covers) 324, breadth 176, leg I 230, leg II 176, leg III 170, leg IV 198

Gnathosoma (Fig. 14): Hypostome narrow, ventrally with one pair of long setae m and two pairs of adoral setae (or1,2); palp tarsus with four eupathidia, 4 setae and 1 solenidion, tibia with 3, genu with 2 and femur with 3 setae.

Dorsum (Fig. 12): Hood with 6-7 foveolae per longitudinal row, foveolae round to polygonal, anterior margin smooth; dorsum ornamented with evenly spaced pores and reticulations laterally, with 11 pairs of long, simple setae; two pairs of eyes and 2 pairs of slit-like cupules (ai, am) anal opening terminally with 3 pairs of anal setae (ps1, ps2); length of dorsal setae as follows: ve 22, sci 34, sce 32, c1 35, c2 ?, d 34, e1 35, e2 34, f 32, h1 25, h2 25.

Venter (Fig. 13): Prosternal apron wedge shaped, with 25 foveolae; venter pattern similar to dorsum, ventro-lateral reticulations with pores; venter with 4 pairs of ventral setae, genital opening with 2 pairs of genital setae (g1,2) and two pairs of aggenital setae, ag1,2; one pair of slit-like cupules posterior to genital opening pores (ih).

Legs (Figs. 15-16): Leg I longest; setal formulae of leg segments (solenidia in parentheses and not included in setal counts) as follows: coxae 2-1-2-1; trochanters 1-1-2-1; femora 4-3-2-2; genua 5(k)
Figs. 12-16. — *Favognathus cordylus* Luxton. Female. 1. — Dorsal view. 2. — Ventral view. 3. — Palpus. 4. — Leg I. 5. — Leg II.
Figs. 17-20. — *Favognathus barrasi* (Smiley and Moser). Female. 1. — Dorsal view. 2. — Ventral view. 3. — Leg I. 4. — Leg II.
-4(\textit{k})-2-3; tibiae \(5(\textit{q}_9, \textit{q})-5(\textit{q}_9)-4(\textit{q}_9)-3\); tarsi 12
\(2(\textit{q}_9, \textit{o})-12(\textit{q}_9, \textit{o})-9(\textit{e})-9(\textit{e})\). Addorsals on tarsus II
similar.

**Material examined:** Female paratype from pine litter, Rehovot, Israel, 22 February 1966, coll. U.
Gerson.

**Remarks:** The presence of a pair of angular condyles associated with second pair of ventral setae
distinguishes this species.

Favognathus barrasi (Smiley & Moser) (Figs.17-20)
Favognathus barrasi (Smiley & Moser);
Luxton, 1987: 113
(New description)

**Female (Figs. 17-20).** Length (n=1): Paratype:

- Length of body 284, breadth 189, leg I 198, leg II 158,
- leg III 164, leg IV 183.

- Gnathosoma: Hypostome narrow, ventrally with one pair of long setae \(m\) and two pairs of adoral setae
- \((or_{1,2})\); palp tarsus with four eupathidia, 4 setae and 1 solenidion, tibia with 3, genu with 2 and femur with
- 3 setae.

- Dorsum (Fig. 17): Hood wider than long with 5-6
foveolae per longitudinal row, foveolae polygonal,
- anterior margin smooth; dorsum covered with punc-
tuations with vague reticulations laterally bearing
- 11 pairs of long, simple setae; two pairs of eyes and
2 pairs of slit-like cupules \((ai, am)\) anal opening ter-
minally with 3 pairs of anal setae \((ps_1, ps_2)\), 9-13;
- length of dorsal setae as follows: \(ve 19, scf 25, sce 25,
\ c_1 32, c_2 32, d 35, e_1 32, e_2 35, f 32, h 25, h_2 25\).

- Venter (Fig. 18): Prosternal apron wedge shaped,
with about 17 polygonal foveolae; venter with pores
and striations reticulations indistinct or absent; ven-
ter with 4 pairs of ventral setae, genital opening with
2 pairs of genital setae \((g_{1,2})\) and two pairs of aggeni-
tal setae, \(ag_{1,2}\).

- Legs (Figs.19-20): Legs I and II subequal in length;
setal formulae of leg segments (solenidia in paren-
theses and not included in setal counts) as follows: coxae
2-1-2-1; trochanters 1-1-2-1; femora 3-3-2-2; genua
5(\textit{k})-4(\textit{k})-2-3; tibiae \(5(\textit{q}_9, \textit{q})-5(\textit{q}_9)-4(\textit{q}_9)-3\); tarsi
15(\textit{q}_9, \textit{o})-12(\textit{q}_9, \textit{o})-9(\textit{e})-9(\textit{e}). Addorsals on tarsus II
similar.

**Material examined:** One female paratype found
under bark scales of *Pinus taeda* L., Elizabeth,
Louisiana, U.S.A., 9 November 1965, coll. R.L.
Smiley.

**Remarks:** This species is distinguished by the hood
being wider than long.

**Key to species of Favognathus Luxton**
(Females)

1. Prosternal apron with foveolae .................. 2
2. Prosternal apron without foveolae .................. \(F. afyonensis\) Koç & Akyol
3. Genu II without famulus \(k\) .................. 6
4. Members of \(c_1\) 60 im apart; tarsi II with 12 setae, excluding two solenidia .................. \(F. cucurbitellus\) (Meyer & Ryke)
5. Dorsal shield completely reticulated .............. 5
6. Anterior margin of hood denticulated .............. 7
7. Addorsal setae \(tc\) similar .................. 8
8. Dorsal shield striate with faint reticulations; sternocoxal area evenly porous without striae .................. \(F. favus\) (Summers & Chaudhri)
9. Large, idiosoma 429 im long; dorsum without reticulations, with some striae between elongated pores; striae
more evident between pores on venter; prosternal apron
with 25 foveolae; femora, genu and tibiae with ridges
distally .................. \(F. magnus\) (Luxton)
10. Smaller, idiosoma less than 350 im long; dorsum with
or without reticulations; prosternal apron with 13-18
foveolae ..................
10. Dorsum and venter without reticulations, dorsum without striae. \textit{F. ochraceus} (Summers & Chaudhri)
11. Dorsal shield reticulated laterally \ldots \textit{F. goffi} Swift
12. Sternocoxal region without angular condyles \ldots \textit{F. gersoni} Luxton
13. Three pairs of nonporous, non-reticulated circular areas on dorsum posterior to setae \(c_f\), lateral to \(e_f\) and lateral to \(f\); sternocoxal region with evenly distributed pores; seta \(ag_2\) absent \ldots \textit{F. distinctus} Swift
14. Cluster of closely set punctuations near setae \(d\) \ldots \textit{F. insularis} Luxton
15. No closely set punctuations near setae \(d\). \ldots \textit{F. leopardus} (Luxton)
16. Setal formula of femora 4-3-2-2 or 3-3-2-2 \ldots \textit{F. turecicus} Koç & Ayvildiz
17. Setal formula of femora 3-3-2-2 \ldots \textit{F. turcicus} Koç & Ayvildiz
18. Two pairs of aggenital setae \ldots \textit{F. variabilis} Swift
19. Setal formula of tarsi 16-14-12-8 \ldots \textit{F. pictus} (Summers & Chaudhri)
20. Dorsal shield completely reticulated \ldots \textit{F. distortus} (Kuznetzov)
21. Two pairs of clusters of closely set punctuations associated with setae \(c_f\) and \(d\); single longitudinal row of pores separate nonporous areas of sternocoxal region. \ldots \textit{F. pongolesis} Meyer & Uekermann
22. Hood wider than long. \ldots \textit{F. barrasi} (Smiley & Moser)
23. Dorsum completely reticulated \ldots \textit{F. mirazii} sp. n.
24. Clusters of closely set punctuations near setae \(c_f\) and \(d\); setal formula of tarsi 17-14-10-10. \ldots \textit{F. observabilis} (Kuznetzov)
25. Dorsum without clusters of closely set punctuations; setal formula of tarsi 17-13-10-10. \ldots \textit{F. rugosus} (Livshitz)
26. Dorsum without reticulations, only covered with punctuations. \ldots \textit{F. duktotansis} (McDaniel & Bolen)
27. Dorsum reticulated laterally \ldots \textit{F. cucurbita} (Berlese)
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